ALTOONA BOARD OF EDUCATION<br>Regular Meeting<br>Altoona Commons Addition<br>May 21, 2012<br>6:30 p.m.<br>Agenda

1. Call to Order
2. Roll Call
3. Reading of Public Notice
4. Pledge of Allegiance
5. Approval of Minutes
a. May 7, 2012 Organizational Meeting
b. May 7, 2012 Regular Meeting
6. Public Participation (All remarks are to be addressed to the Board; members of the public may not discuss among themselves as an audience. Board members may ask questions of a speaker; however, no formal deliberations are allowed at this time.)
a. Non-Agenda items - public comment and concern
b. Agenda items - public comment and concern
7. Treasurer's Report
a. Approval of Checks for Payment
(1) General fund checks totaling \$603,977.56
(2) Student activity fund checks totaling $\$ 5,548.87$
(3) Debt service checks totaling \$-0-
b. Approval of Treasurer's Report
8. Information
a. Committee Meeting Report
(1) Policy Committee, May 8 and 17
(2) Educational Planning Council, May 9
(3) Altoona Area Foundation Inc., May 9
b. General Information
c. President Report
(1) Committee Appointments 2012/13
(2) Proposed Board Calendar 2012/13
(3) Policy for Discussion: Policy 851 - Advertising
(4) Job Description for Coordinator of Gifted Talented Students
d. Superintendent's Report
(1) Joint Review Board Meeting and Public Hearing, May 14
(2) Library Board Meeting, May 16
(3) Food and Nutrition Update, Peggy Ehrhard
(4) Network Infrastructure Upgrade Project, Mark Scheppke
(5) Review of Information and Technology Plan 2012-2015, Mark Scheppke
9. Board Action after Consideration and Discussion
a. Consider Resignation of Food Service Employee
b. Consider Resignation of Special Education Aide
c. Consider Resignation of Community Liaison
d. Consider Resignation of Phy. Ed. Teacher
e. Consider Request for Residency Exemption
f. Consider Approval of Dean of Students Agreement for 2012/13
g. Consider Adoption of Job Description for Coordinator of Gifted Talented Students
h. Consider Recommendation for Milk Bid Award for 2012/13
i. Consider Recommendation for Meal Prices for 2012/13
j. Consider Continuing Wisconsin School Day Milk Program
k. Consider Recommendation for Athletic Events Ticket Prices for 2012/13
10. Consider Approval of Network Infrastructure Upgrade Project
m. Consider Adoption of Information and Technology Plan 2012-2015
11. Adjournment

The vision of the Altoona School District, in partnership with our students, their families, and our community, is to build a foundation for life-long learning and the emotional well-being of our students. We are dedicated to offering large school opportunities with a small school approach on our unique, single campus setting.

Greg Fahrman, Superintendent

# School District of Altoona 

ALTOONA BOARD OF EDUCATION<br>Organizational Meeting<br>Altoona Commons Addition<br>May 7, 2012<br>6:30 p.m.

1. The Organizational Meeting of the Altoona Board of Education was called by Board President Edward Bohn order at 6:30 pm. in the Altoona commons addition.
2. Roll call was taken and the following were present:

Edward P. Bohn, President
Helen S. Drawbert, Vice President
Robin E. Elvig, Clerk
Robert (Red) A. Hanks, Treasurer
Michael J. Hilger, Member
Gregory J. Fahrman, Superintendent
Joyce M. Orth, Board Secretary
3. Reading of Public Notice. Report of notice was given. All posting requirements were met and posting places are noted: Altoona City Hall, Altoona Post Office, school district office, high school office, middle school office, and elementary school office.
4. Pledge of Allegiance
5. Election of Officers. a. President. Robin Elvig nominated Helen Drawbert for the office of president, nomination seconded by Hilger. Elvig motioned to close nominations, seconded by Hilger. Helen Drawbert was elected as President. b. Vice President. Helen Drawbert nominated Ed Bohn for the office of vice president, nomination seconded by Elvig. Hanks motioned to close nominations, seconded by Elvig. Ed Bohn was elected as Vice President. c. Clerk. Robert Hanks nominated Robin Elvig for the office of clerk, nomination seconded by Drawbert. Drawbert motioned to close nominations, seconded by Hilger. Robin Elvig was elected as Clerk. d. Treasurer. Robin Elvig nominated Robert Hanks for the office treasurer, nomination seconded by Drawbert. Elvig motioned to close nominations, seconded by Hilger. Robert Hanks was elected as Treasurer.
6. Adjournment. Motion by Hanks to adjourn at 6:34 p.m., seconded by Elvig. Elvig, yes; Drawbert, yes; Hilger, yes; Hanks, yes; Bohn, yes. Motion carried 5-0.

District Clerk
Date

The vision of the Altoona School District, in partnership with our students, their families, and our community,
is to build a foundation for life-long learning and the emotional well-being of our students.
We are dedicated to offering large school opportunities with a small school approach on our unique, single campus setting.

School District of Altoona

ALTOONA BOARD OF EDUCATION<br>Regular Meeting<br>Altoona Commons Addition<br>May 7, 2012<br>6:45 p.m.

1. The Regular Meeting of the Altoona Board of Education was called to order by Board President, Helen Drawbert at 6:45 p.m. in the Altoona commons addition.
2. Roll call was taken and the following were present:

Helen S. Drawbert, President
Edward P. Bohn, Vice President
Robin E. Elvig, Clerk
Robert (Red) A. Hanks, Treasurer
Michael J. Hilger, Member
Gregory J. Fahrman, Superintendent
Joyce M. Orth, Board Secretary
3. Reading of Public Notice. Report of notice was given. All posting requirements were met and posting places are noted: Altoona City Hall, Altoona Post Office, school district office, high school office, middle school office, and elementary school office.
4. Pledge of Allegiance
5. Approval of Minutes. a. April 16, 2012 Regular Meeting. Motion by Hanks to approve the minutes as presented, seconded by Elvig. Elvig, yes; Bohn, yes; Hilger, yes; Hanks, yes; Drawbert, yes. Motion carried 5-0.
6. Public Participation. a. Non-Agenda items - public comment and concern. (1) Helen Drawbert recognized and thanked Ed Bohn for serving as board president for the last 14 years. (2) Megan Kampa, high school student council president, shared a picture gallery highlighting student council sponsored events including the Snowball Dance, the Polar Plunge, the Red Cross Blood Drive and flower planting. The students also helped clean the high school workroom and FACE room in April. The upcoming Morp Dance will be the last activity of the school year. (3) Red Hanks shared a city council progress update featuring the country club project. (4) Joyce Orth announced the change in the August inservice schedule. Inservice is now scheduled for Monday, August 27 through Wednesday, August 29 to accommodate a required professional development session for teachers on August 27 in Cadott. There will also be an evening session, tailored to board members and administrative teams. (5) Jeff Pepowski, high school principal, recognized Dawn Schroyer, prom advisor, volunteers and all involved for a successful prom on April 28. b. Agenda items - public comment and concern. (1) Greg Emerson, phy. ed teacher and coach, asked the board to approve the tennis court renovation project due to the availability of grants. He also encouraged continued collaboration with the City. (2) Tanner Magruder, high school student, also spoke to the tennis court renovations, and the positive role that the sport has played in his life and in developing his character. (3) Melissa Eslinger, parent, addressed the board regarding the Gifted and Talented resignation, and asked that they look for a passionate individual who will help parents find the best options for the students.
7. Treasurer's Report. a. Approval of Checks for Payment. Motion by Bohn to approve general fund checks totaling $\$ 975,493.22$ and student activity fund checks totaling $\$ 10,266.16$ as presented, seconded by Elvig. Bohn, yes; Hilger, yes; Hanks, yes; Elvig, yes; Drawbert, yes. Motion carried 5-0.
8. Information. a. Committee Meeting Report. (1) Transportation Committee. Transportation Committee meetings were held on April 17 and May 3 to discuss the future of district transportation services. Student Transit officials attended the May 3 meeting to answer questions related to planning and assignment of regular and special routes, the pay scale and benefits, how student discipline is handled, procedures in general, and the potential timeline. January 1, 2013 was identified as a realistic target date should the board decide to proceed to the next step in the process of contracting out. (a) Discuss Transportation Services through Student Transit. The board discussed potential transportation services with Student Transit. Student Transit officials were introduced and Jim Fey answered questions. See 9.m. b. Administrative Report. (1) Semi-Annual Vista Update. Juanita Peck, VISTA, gave an overview of VISTA program goals, and reviewed events and programs put in place to promote best-practices. While the VISTA term will expire in November, partnership will continue under RtI (Response to Intervention). (2) Curriculum Adoption. Proposed 2011/12 curriculum purchases and adoptions were reviewed. Purchases are planned in the areas of high school math, elementary music and middle school/high school art. The high school math department is recommending the Glencoe Mathematics Connections for algebra 1 and geometry. The series features content that connects to life, problem-based learning, a lab component, and online tools including a personal tutor and graphing calculator. Purchases for algebra 2 and calculus would be postponed for one year. See 9.i. c. General Information. None. d. President Report. (1) Committee Sign-up Process. Board members will complete the committee preference forms and turn them in to Joyce by May 10. Committee appointments will be made on May 21. (2) Proposed Board Calendar 2012/13. Postponed. (3) WASB 2012 Spring Academy. Helen Drawbert, Red Hanks and Mike Hilger shared updates from the May 5 session they attended the in Wisconsin Dells. Topics they highlighted included the budget cycle, labor relations and communication as leadership. (4) WASB Key Work in Action Workshop. Helen Drawbert, Red Hanks and Mike Hilger will attend the workshop scheduled for May 19 in Turtle Lake. This workshop will focus on the changes in standards, testing, and evaluation of teachers and principals that need to be in place by the 2014/15 school year. e. Superintendent's Report. (1) Energy Management Update. The Spring Quarterly Report was reviewed. In addition to outlining our savings in energy, the report includes projects planned for the future as well as energy saving tips for staff, students and parents. Energy savings for June 2011 through February 2012 totaled \$15,167. (2) Open Enrollment Application Summary and Timeline. The open enrollment application summary and timeline were reviewed. The district received 114 applications for nonresident students compared to 110 applications for 98 resident students to attend in another district. Under 2011 Act 114, parents who missed the application period may apply for an exception on or after July 1. To qualify, they must meet one of seven criteria. (3) Open Enrollment Exceptions. The criteria for exceptions to the open enrollment timeline were reviewed. Two resident open enrollment exceptions were reviewed. See 9.h. (4) Referendum Survey Update. Post-referendum surveys were mailed to 4,900 school district residents on April 23. The survey period will close on May 10. (5) Tennis Court Renovation Project and Recommendation. In view of potential grant funding, the administration recommended that the board proceed with the tennis court renovation project at a cost of $\$ 78,000$ to $\$ 110,000$. See 9.j.
9. Board Action after Consideration and Discussion. a. Consider Employment of Middle School Interim Principal and Approve 2012-2013 Contract. Motion by Bohn to employ Gary Pszeniczny as the middle school interim principal and approve the 2012-13 contract as presented with the option to return to the Dean of Students position at the end of the contract period, seconded by Elvig. Hilger, yes; Hanks, no; Elvig, yes; Bohn, yes; Drawbert, yes. Motion carried 4-1.
b. Consider Employment Recommendation to Fill Extracurricular Position. Motion by Elvig to employ Taylor Heltne, assistant boys' high school tennis coach for the 2011/12 season as recommended, seconded by Bohn. Hanks, yes; Elvig, yes; Bohn, yes; Hilger, yes; Drawbert, yes. Motion carried 5-0. c. Consider Retirement of Special Education Aide. Motion by Hanks to accept the retirement of Marilyn Burback, special education aide, effective at year end, seconded by Hilger. Elvig, yes; Bohn, yes; Hilger, yes; Hanks, yes; Drawbert, yes. Motion carried 5-0.d. Consider
Resignation of Gifted Talented Teacher/Coordinator. Motion by Elvig to accept the resignation of Marilyn Modrak, gifted/talented teacher, effective at year end, seconded by Bohn. Bohn, yes; Hilger, yes; Hanks, yes; Elvig, yes; Drawbert, abstain. Motion carried 4-0.e. Consider Resignation of Bus Driver. Motion by Hanks to accept the resignation of Ken Moy, bus driver, effective April 30, 2012, seconded by Elvig. Hilger, yes; Hanks, yes; Elvig, yes; Bohn, yes; Drawbert, yes. Motion carried 5-0.
f. Consider Resignation from 2011/12 Extra Assignment. Motion by Elvig to accept the resignation of Jill Phippen, Rails Review producer, seconded by Bohn. Hanks, yes; Elvig, yes; Bohn, yes; Hilger, yes; Drawbert, yes. Motion carried 5-0. g. Consider Approval of Summer Programming 2012. Motion by Bohn to approve summer programming 2012 as presented, seconded by Elvig. Elvig, yes; Bohn, yes; Hilger, yes; Hanks, yes; Drawbert, yes. Motion carried 5-0. h. Consider Approval of Open Enrollment Exceptions. Motion by Elvig to approve the open enrollment exceptions for applications dated February 27, 2012, as presented, seconded by Hilger. Bohn, yes; Hilger, yes; Hanks, yes; Elvig, yes; Drawbert, yes. Motion carried 5-0. See 8.e.(2)(3). i. Consider Recommendation for Curriculum Adoptions. Motion by Bohn to approve the curriculum adoptions totaling \$36,483.17 for high school math, $\$ 5,533.58$ for art and $\$ 1,926.19$ for elementary music as presented, seconded by Elvig. Hilger, yes; Hanks, yes; Elvig, yes; Bohn, yes; Drawbert, yes. Motion carried 5-0. See 8.b.(2). j. Consider Recommendation for Tennis Court Renovation Project. Motion by Bohn to approve the tennis court renovation project at a district cost of $\$ 78,000$ to $\$ 110,000$ depending on grant funding as presented, seconded by Elvig. Hanks, yes; Elvig, yes; Bohn, yes; Hilger, yes; Drawbert, yes. Motion carried 5-0. See 8.e. (5). k. Consider Student Insurance Renewal for 2012/13. Motion by Hanks to approve the student insurance renewal premium of $\$ 27,324$ for $2012 / 13$ as presented, seconded Bohn. Elvig, yes; Bohn, yes; Hilger, yes; Hanks, yes; Drawbert, yes. Motion carried 5-0. 1. Consider Audit Contract for the Year Ended June 30, 2012. Motion by Bohn to approve the audit contract with Wipfli LLP for the year ended June 30, 2012 as presented, seconded by Elvig. Bohn, yes; Hilger, yes; Hanks, yes; Elvig, yes; Drawbert, yes. Motion carried 5-0. m. Consider Proceeding with Contracting Out for Transportation Services as of January 1, 2013. Motion by Hanks to continue discussion on contracting out bus services with Eau Claire Transit, Altoona administration and the Transportation Committee, seconded by Bohn. Hilger, no; Hanks, yes; Elvig, no; Bohn, no; Drawbert, no. Motion failed. Motion by Bohn to proceed with contracting out for transportation services as of January 1, 2013, seconded by Hilger. Hilger, yes; Hanks, no; Elvig, yes; Bohn, yes; Drawbert, yes. Motion carried 4-1. See 8.a.(1), (1)(a).
10. Anticipated Closed Session as Per Section 19.85(1)(c) - Wisc. Statutes. Motion by Elvig to adjourn into closed session at 9:11 p.m., seconded by Hilger. Hanks, yes; Elvig, yes; Bohn, yes; Hilger, yes; Drawbert, yes. Motion carried 5-0. a. Consider approval of closed session minutes for April 16, 2012; b. Consider employment or performance evaluation data of a public employee over which the governmental body has jurisdiction to include discussion of proposed retirement agreement with support staff member - 19.85(1)(c).
11. Reconvene into Open Session and Take Necessary Action. Motion by Hanks to reconvene and take necessary action at 9:31 p.m., seconded by Elvig. Elvig, yes; Bohn, yes; Hilger, yes; Hanks, yes; Drawbert, yes. Motion carried 5-0. a. Consider Retirement of Middle School Secretary. Motion by Bohn to accept the retirement of Debra Knudson effective at year end, seconded by Elvig. Bohn, yes; Hilger, yes; Hanks, yes; Elvig, yes; Drawbert, yes. Motion carried 5-0.
12. Adjournment. Motion by Elvig to adjourn at 9:32 p.m., seconded by Hilger. Hilger, yes; Hanks, yes; Elvig, yes; Bohn, yes; Drawbert, yes. Motion carried 5-0.

Joyce M. Orth CAP, Board Secretary

The vision of the Altoona School District, in partnership with our students, their families, and our community, is to build a foundation for life-long learning and the emotional well-being of our students.

We are dedicated to offering large school opportunities with a small school approach on our unique, single campus setting.
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05/04/2012 11120076099 E 800342223720000

05/04/2012 11120076110 E 800571223100000

| FD | VENDOR | AMOUNT |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | CESA \#10 | 298.60 |
| 10 | CESA \#10 | 88.00 |
| 10 | CESA \#10 | 143.00 |
| 10 | CESA \#10 | 402.00 |
| 10 | CESA \#10 | 292.20 |
| 27 | CESA \#10 | $8,140.40$ |
| 27 | CESA \#10 | $10,358.90$ |
| 27 | CESA \#10 | $3,189.30$ |
| 27 | CESA \#10 | $20,555.30$ |
| 27 | CESA \#10 | $14,321.00$ |
| 10 | CESA \#10 | 241.10 |
| 10 | CESA \#10 | 364.00 |
| 10 | CESA \#10 | 872.80 |

10 AMERIPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES 25.00

Totals for $111200756 \quad 25.00$
10 THRIVENT FINANCIAL 150.00

| 10 | MINNESOTA | LIFE | INSURANCE CO | 536.36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | MINNESOTA | LIFE | INSURANCE CO | 83.01 |
| 50 | MINNESOTA | LIFE | Insurance co | 20.75 |
| 80 | MINNESOTA | LIFE | Insurance co | 0.00 |
| 99 | MINNESOTA | LIFE | Insurance co | 2.77 |
| 10 | MINNESOTA | LIFE | INSURANCE CO | 221.81 |
| 27 | MINNESOTA | LIFE | INSURANCE CO | 26.04 |
| 50 | MINNESOTA | LIFE | INSURANCE CO | 2.57 |
| 80 | MINNESOTA | LIFE | INSURANCE CO | 0.00 |
| 99 | MINNESOTA | LIFE | INSURANCE CO | 0.71 |
| 10 | MINNESOTA | LIFE | INSURANCE CO | 536.36 |
| 27 | MINNESOTA | LIFE | INSURANCE CO | 83.01 |
| 50 | MINNESOTA | LIFE | INSURANCE CO | 18.77 |
| 80 | MINNESOTA | LIFE | INSURANCE CO | 0.00 |
| 99 | MINNESOTA | LIFE | INSURANCE CO | 2.77 |
| 10 | MINNESOTA | LIFE | INSURANCE CO | 221.81 |
| 27 | MINNESOTA | LIFE | INSURANCE CO | 26.04 |
| 50 | MINNESOTA | LIFE | INSURANCE CO | 2.35 |
| 80 | MINNESOTA | LIFE | INSURANCE CO | 0.00 |
| 99 | MINNESOTA | LIFE | INSURANCE CO | 0.71 |
| 10 | MINNESOTA | LIFE | INSURANCE CO | -0.57 |
| 10 | MINNESOTA | LIFE | INSURANCE CO | -0.60 |
| 10 | MINNESOTA | LIFE | INSURANCE CO | -0.58 |
|  |  |  | Totals for 111200758 | 1,784.09 |


| 10 BRAUN CORPORATION LLC | 92.31 |
| :---: | ---: |
| 10 BRAUN CORPORATION LLC | 73.02 |
| Totals for 111200759 | 165.33 |

99 BRESINA, CHERYL
135.42
135.42

10 CESSPOOL CLEANER COMPANY INC.
230.00 230.00
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| FD | VENDOR |  | AMOUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Totals for 111200777 | 1,168.75 |
| 10 | MORGAN M | MUSIC COMPANY | 68.00 |
|  |  | Totals for 111200778 | 68.00 |
| 10 | NELSON, | WENDY | 37.26 |
|  |  | Totals for 111200779 | 37.26 |
| 10 | TREETOP | PUBLISHING | 280.50 |
|  |  | Totals for 111200780 | 280.50 |
| 10 | WIPFLI, | LLP | 1,850.00 |
| 10 | WIPFLI, | LLP | 9,900.00 |
|  |  | Totals for 111200781 | 11,750.00 |
| 10 | EMPLOYEE | E BENEFITS COOPERATIVE | 468.98 |
| 27 | EMPLOYEE | E BENEFITS COOPERATIVE | 427.93 |
| 10 | EMPLOYEE | E BENEFITS COOPERATIVE | 970.90 |
| 27 | EMPLOYEE | E BENEFITS COOPERATIVE | 125.20 |
| 80 | EMPLOYEE | E BENEFITS COOPERATIVE | 22.50 |
|  |  | Totals for 201100613 | 2,015.51 |


| 10 HORACE MANN LIFE INS COMPANY | 469.00 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 27 HORACE MANN LIFE INS COMPANY | 16.00 |
| 99 HORACE MANN LIFE INS COMPANY | 200.00 |
| Totals for 201100614 | 685.00 |


| 10 GREAT-WEST RETIREMENT SERVICES | $1,924.33$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 27 GREAT-WEST RETIREMENT SERVICES | 500.00 |
| Totals for 201100615 | $2,424.33$ |


| 10 WELLS FARGO BANK | $4,055.73$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 27 WELLS FARGO BANK | 658.62 |
| 50 WELLS FARGO BANK | 141.80 |
| 80 WELLS FARGO BANK | 12.56 |
| 99 WELLS FARGO BANK | 22.65 |
| 10 WELLS FARGO BANK | $11,747.47$ |
| 27 WELLS FARGO BANK | $1,907.72$ |
| 50 WELLS FARGO BANK | 410.68 |
| 80 WELLS FARGO BANK | 36.43 |
| 99 WELLS FARGO BANK | 65.62 |
| 10 WELLS FARGO BANK | 569.50 |
| 50 WELLS FARGO BANK | 10.00 |
| 80 WELLS FARGO BANK | 7.50 |
| 10 WELLS FARGO BANK | $25,198.48$ |
| 27 WELLS FARGO BANK | $3,491.20$ |
| 50 WELLS FARGO BANK | 525.38 |
| 80 WELLS FARGO BANK | 42.65 |
| 99 WELLS FARGO BANK | 105.90 |


| 10 WELLS FARGO BANK | $4,055.73$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 27 WELLS FARGO BANK | 658.62 |
| 50 WELLS FARGO BANK | 141.80 |
| 80 WELLS FARGO BANK | 12.56 |
| 99 WELLS FARGO BANK | 22.65 |
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05/07/2012 20110062110 A 000000711100000 05/07/2012 20110062127 A 000000711100000


| 10 WISCONSIN DEPT OF REVENUE | 32.50 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 50 WISCONSIN DEPT OF REVENUE | 55.00 |
| 80 WISCONSIN DEPT OF REVENUE | 7.50 |
| 10 WISCONSIN DEPT OF REVENUE | $14,301.96$ |
| 27 WISCONSIN DEPT OF REVENUE | $2,176.45$ |
| 50 WISCONSIN DEPT OF REVENUE | 318.46 |
| 80 WISCONSIN DEPT OF REVENUE | 25.63 |
| 99 WISCONSIN DEPT OF REVENUE | 67.91 |


| 10 WISCONSIN RETIREMENT SYSTEM | $1,192.87$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 27 WISCONSIN RETIREMENT SYSTEM | 145.52 |
| 50 WISCONSIN RETIREMENT SYSTEM | 88.46 |
| 99 WISCONSIN RETIREMENT SYSTEM | 77.20 |
| 10 WISCONSIN RETIREMENT SYSTEM | 205.30 |
| 10 WISCONSIN RETIREMENT SYSTEM | $12,958.95$ |
| 27 WISCONSIN RETIREMENT SYSTEM | $1,622.71$ |
| 80 WISCONSIN RETIREMENT SYSTEM | 6.19 |
| 10 WISCONSIN RETIREMENT SYSTEM | $2,876.93$ |
| 27 WISCONSIN RETIREMENT SYSTEM | 708.68 |
| 50 WISCONSIN RETIREMENT SYSTEM | 470.29 |
| 80 WISCONSIN RETIREMENT SYSTEM | 22.19 |
| 99 WISCONSIN RETIREMENT SYSTEM | 77.20 |
| 10 WISCONSIN RETIREMENT SYSTEM | $1,684.06$ |
| 27 WISCONSIN RETIREMENT SYSTEM | 563.16 |
| 50 WISCONSIN RETIREMENT SYSTEM | 381.83 |
| $80 ~ W I S C O N S I N ~ R E T I R E M E N T ~ S Y S T E M ~$ | 22.19 |
| 10 WISCONSIN RETIREMENT SYSTEM | $12,753.65$ |
| 27 WISCONSIN RETIREMENT SYSTEM | $1,622.71$ |
| $80 ~ W I S C O N S I N ~ R E T I R E M E N T ~ S Y S T E M ~$ | 6.19 |


| 10 WEA TRUST ADVANTAGE | $5,675.02$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 27 WEA TRUST ADVANTAGE | 525.00 |
| 80 WEA TRUST ADVANTAGE | 78.25 |
| 10 WEA TRUST ADVANTAGE | $2,352.50$ |
| 10 WEA TRUST ADVANTAGE | $3,665.68$ |
| 27 WEA TRUST ADVANTAGE | 140.13 |
| 10 WEA TRUST ADVANTAGE | 147.40 |
| 27 WEA TRUST ADVANTAGE | 65.94 |
| 10 WEA TRUST ADVANTAGE | 89.67 |
| 27 WEA TRUST ADVANTAGE | 38.44 |
| 10 WEA TRUST ADVANTAGE | 413.00 |
| 27 WEA TRUST ADVANTAGE | 20.00 |
| 10 WEA TRUST ADVANTAGE | 10.44 |

[^0]

| CHECK | CHECK ACCOUNT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE | NUMBER | NUMBER |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05/10/2012 | 201100640 | 10 | E | 800 | 355 | 263300 | 000 |
| 05/10/2012 | 201100641 | 10 | E | 800 | 355 | 263300 | 000 |
| 05/10/2012 | 201100642 | 10 | E | 800 | 411 | 252000 | 000 |
| 05/10/2012 | 201100643 | 10 | E | 100 | 320 | 254300 | 000 |
| 05/10/2012 | 201100643 | 10 | E |  | 320 | 254300 | 000 |
| 05/10/2012 | 201100643 | 10 |  |  | 320 | 254300 | 000 |
| 05/10/2012 | 201100644 | 10 |  |  | 310 | 252100 | 000 |
| 05/10/2012 | 201100645 | 10 | E | 100 | 320 | 254490 | 000 |
| 05/10/2012 | 201100645 | 10 | E | 200 | 320 | 254490 | 000 |
| 05/10/2012 | 201100645 | 10 | E | 400 | 320 | 254490 | 000 |
| 05/10/2012 | 201100645 | 10 | E | 800 | 320 | 254490 | 000 |
| 05/10/2012 | 201100645 | 50 | E | 800 | 320 | 257220 | 000 |

05/10/2012 20110064610 E 800353258500000

05/10/2012 20110064710 E 100331253300000 05/10/2012 20110064710 E 100336253300000 05/10/2012 20110064710 E 400331253300000 05/10/2012 20110064710 E 400336253300000

| $05 / 10 / 2012$ | 201100648 | 10 | $E$ | 100 | 331 | 253300 | 000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $05 / 10 / 2012$ | 201100648 | 10 | $E$ | 100 | 336 | 253300 | 000 |
| $05 / 10 / 2012$ | 201100648 | 10 | $E$ | 200 | 331 | 253300 | 000 |
| $05 / 10 / 2012$ | 201100648 | 10 | $E$ | 200 | 336 | 253300 | 000 |
| $05 / 10 / 2012$ | 201100648 | 10 | $E$ | 400 | 331 | 253300 | 000 |
| $05 / 10 / 2012$ | 201100648 | 10 | E | 400 | 336 | 253300 | 000 |

## F U N D S U M M A R Y

| FUND | DESCRIPTION | BALANCE SHEET | REVENUE | EXPENSE | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | GENERAL | 316,672.55 | 0.00 | 138,906.70 | 455,579.25 |
| 27 | SPECIAL EDUCATION FUND | 51,778.59 | 0.00 | 82,156.15 | 133,934.74 |
| 50 | FOOD SERVICE | 11,403.57 | 0.00 | 54.90 | 11,458.47 |
| 80 | COMMUNITY SERVICE | 1,012.40 | 0.00 | 54.80 | 1,067.20 |
| 99 | Cooperative Programs | 1,763. 25 | 0.00 | 174.65 | 1,937.90 |
| *** | und Summary Totals *** | 382,630.36 | 0.00 | 221,347.20 | 603,977.56 |



## FUNDSUMMARY

| FUND | DESCRIPTION |  | BALANCE SHEET |  | REVENUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

End of report ***********************

# SCHOOL DISTRICT OF ALTOONA <br> Bank Balances <br> April 2012 

GENERAL ACCOUNTS (FUNDS 10, 23, 27, 38, 45, 50, and 80)

| Wells Fargo Bank |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Beginning balance | $28,086.81$ |
| Receipts | $486,867.83$ |
| Disbursements | $(1,506,901.76)$ |
| Transfers in | $3,000,000.00$ |
| Transfers out | $(1,750,000.00)$ |
| Ending Balance | $\underline{258,052.88}$ |

Wells Fargo Bank Savings
Beginning balance 225,337.66
Transfers in 1,750,000.00
Transfers out (700,000.00)
Interest $\underline{193.62}$
Ending Balance $\quad 1,275,531.28$

State Government Pool
Beginning balance 2,279,644.19
Receipts 114,671.50
Transfers in 0.00
Transfers out $\quad(2,300,000.00)$
Interest $\underline{28.93}$
Ending Balance $\underline{94,344.62}$

Wisconsin Liquid Asset Fund
Beginning balance 2,146.17
Interest $\underline{0.00}$
Ending Balance $\quad \underline{2,146.17}$

GENERAL ACCOUNTS TOTAL
\$1,630,074.95

## SCHOOL DISTRICT OF ALTOONA <br> Bank Balances <br> April 2012

DEBT SERVICE FUND 39
Wells Fargo Bank
Beginning balance ..... 107,817.63
Receipts ..... 0.00
Disbursements ..... 0.00
Interest ..... $\underline{13.29}$
Ending Balance ..... 107,830.92
State Government Pool
Beginning balance ..... 2,692.50
Transfers out ..... 0.00
Interest ..... 0.32
Ending Balance ..... 2,692.82
Wisconsin Liquid Asset Fund
Beginning balance ..... 4,289.09
Interest ..... $\underline{0.00}$
Ending Balance ..... 4,289.09
FUND 39 TOTAL \$114,812.83
STUDENT ACTIVITY FUND 60
Wells Fargo Bank
Beginning balance ..... 94,971.13
Receipts ..... 18,843.00
Disbursements ..... $(16,522.57)$
Interest ..... 3.60
Service Fees ..... (40.28)
Ending Balance ..... 97,254.88
FUND 60 TOTAL ..... \$97,254.88
Employee Benefit Trust Fund 73
Mid America
Beginning balance ..... 381,047.15
Receipts ..... 0.00
Disbursements ..... 0.00
Quarterly Interest ..... 2,815.14
Service Fees ..... $\underline{0.00}$
Ending Balance ..... 383,862.29
FUND 73 TOTAL\$383,862.29

# School District of Altoona 

ALTOONA BOARD OF EDUCATION<br>Policy Committee<br>District Office Conference Room<br>May 8, 2012<br>1:00 p.m.

1. The meeting of the Policy Committee was called to order by committee chair, Helen Drawbert, at 1:05 p.m. in District Board Room.
2. Roll call was taken and the following were present:

| Helen Drawbert, Chair | Joyce Orth | Jack Wagener |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Robin Elvig, Member | Karen Henry | Gary Pszeniczny |
| Greg Fahrman | Jeff Pepowski |  |

3. Report of Posting. All posting requirements were met.
4. Approval of Minutes. a. Policy Committee, October 18, 2011.The minutes were approved as presented.
5. Introduction of the WASB Policy Research Guide. Helen gave an overview of the service.
6. Policies for Discussion - Series 100: Board Operations. While time did not permit discussion of each of the following policy/rule samples or proposed revisions at the meeting, Helen asked that each one review prior to the May 17 meeting: 112.1 - Strategic Planning; 120 - Board of Education Members; 121 - Board Member Elections; 133 - Filling Board Vacancies and Rule; 141 - Board Officers and Rule; 142 - District Legal Counsel; 150 - School Board Governance; 151 - Board Policy Development; 153 - Board SelfEvaluation and Rule; 171 - Regular Board Meetings; 171.2 - Agenda Preparation and Dissemination and Rule; 172 - Special Board Meetings and Exhibit; 181 - Rules of Order; 183 - Voting Methods; 184 - Board Minutes and Rule; 185 - Board Committees and Exhibit; 186 - Board Advisory Committees; 187 - Board Participation at Board Meetings; 188 - Board Member Participation at Meetings via Technology.
7. Other Business. a. Discuss and Consider Policy Regarding Residency of Superintendent. An exemption from the contract's residency requirement may be requested at the next board meeting. It was suggested that the number of miles, rather than a requirement to reside in district, be considered in policy parameters. b. Discuss and Consider Policy Allowing Advertising on School Grounds. Sample policies pertaining to advertising and naming facilities were reviewed. c. Discuss and Consider Job Descriptions and Evaluations of Extracurricular Coaches. The evaluation process for extracurricular/cocurricular positions was discussed. Helen asked the principals to think about how the board can help with the process through policy development. d. Discuss and Consider Job Descriptions and Policies Related to Gifted and Talented Position. The two GT related job descriptions (teacher and coordinator) were reviewed. It was determined that for the current opening for the 2012/13 school year, the GT position focus will be on building the program. To provide for job posting on May 22, Robin will draft a job description for coordinator for review at next week's policy committee meeting, and for board level discussion and adoption on May 21
8. Adjournment. The meeting adjourned at $2: 41 \mathrm{pm}$.

## Joyce Orth CAP

## School District of Altoona

ALTOONA BOARD OF EDUCATION<br>Policy Committee<br>District Office Conference Room<br>May 17, 2012<br>1:00 p.m.<br>Agenda

1. Call to Order
2. Roll Call
3. Report of Posting
4. Approval of Minutes
a. Policy Committee Meeting, May 8, 2012
5. Topics for Discussion and Consideration
a. Discuss and Consider Policy Allowing Advertising on School Grounds
b. Discuss and Consider Policy Regarding Residency of Superintendent
c. Discuss and Consider Job Descriptions and Evaluations of Extracurricular Coaches
d. Discuss and Consider Job Descriptions and Policies Related to Gifted and Talented Position
6. Policies for Discussion - Series 100: Board Operations
a. 112.1-Strategic Planning
7. Other Business
8. Adjournment

The vision of the Altoona School District, in partnership with our students, their families, and our community, is to build a foundation for life-long learning and the emotional well-being of our students. We are dedicated to offering large school opportunities with a small school approach on our unique, single campus setting.

School District of
Altoona

Educational Planning Council<br>District Board Room<br>May 9, 2012<br>8:00 a.m.<br>Agenda

1. City Update, Mike Golat
2. Open Enrollment 2012/ 13 Changes and Applicant Summary, J oyce Orth
3. Annual ESEA Report, Karen Henry
4. Referendum Survey, Greg Fahrman
5. School Updates, Principals
6. Other

The Goal of the Educational Planning Council Is to Connect and Communicate With and Between the School District, City, and Community.

## Altoona Area Foundation Inc.

DATE:
TIME:
LOCATION:

Wednesday May $9^{\text {th }}, 2012$
6:30 p.m
SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICE
1903 Bartlett Av, Altoona

AGENDA:

1. Call to Order
2. Roll Call
3. SECRETARY'S REPORT
4. TREASURER'S REPORT

## 5. COMMITTEE REPORTS

A: PROMOTION-Update on collection boxes and contacts.
B: SCHOLARSHIP/ AWARDS-Discussion on presentation process and recognition of the PARR award. 2012 PARR Award Winner/s
C: ALUMNI RELATIONS-Cheri
6. STAR GRANTS.
7. OLD BUSINESS

Report on Excellence in Education Banquet-Dani Meyer National History Day update and funding report Business correspondence-update wording on old brochures. Update on ad in Parks and Rec Flyer.

## 8. NEW BUSINESS

9. ADJOURN

IF UNABLE TO ATTEND, PLEASE NOTIFY Bob Wilcox Wilcox.bob@mayo.edu


| J uly 2 | Regular Meeting |
| :---: | :---: |
| July 16 | Regular Meeting |
| August 6 | Regular Meeting |
| August 20 | Regular Meeting |
| September 4 (Tuesday) | Regular Meeting |
| September 17 | Regular Meeting |
| October 1 | Regular Meeting |
| October 15 | Regular Meeting |
| October 29 * | Annual Budget Hearing/ Annual Meeting/ Special Meeting |
| November 5 | Regular Meeting |
| November 19 | Regular Meeting |
| December 3 | Regular Meeting |
| December 17 | Regular Meeting |
| J anuary 7 | Regular Meeting |
| J anuary 21 | Regular Meeting |
| February 4 | Regular Meeting |
| February 18 | Regular Meeting |
| March 4 | Regular Meeting |
| March 18 | Regular Meeting |
| April 8 * | Regular Meeting |
| April 22 * | Regular Meeting |
| May 6 | Organizational Meeting/ Regular Meeting |
| May 20 | Regular Meeting |
| J une 3 | Regular Meeting |
| J une 17 | Regular Meeting |

[^1]Please Note: This calendar may be subject to change as necessary. Please check our website to confirm meeting dates, location, and time.

The Board of Education recognizes that funds raised from advertising shall provide an alternate stream of revenue for the general district operating budget. The purpose of advertising is to raise revenue; it explicitly does not create a public forum for public expression.

Advertisement is defined as an economic benefit with the specific purpose of promotion that requires selling space or time. The term advertising does not include student fundraising or outright gifts. The District will consider it's responsibility to provide an environment that is conducive to learning and the need to protect the District's integrity and image while also reflecting the community's values.

Advertising shall be limited to areas and activities that are primarily public venues; advertising may be allowed on athletic facilities, gymnasiums, event programs, school publications or other venues which are directed to members of the public. Advertising shall not be directed at student learning environments.

The Superintendent shall be responsible for approving advertising. The District shall allow paid advertisements when it meets all of the following criteria:

- Consistent with law and the District's vision, mission, values, and goals.
- Suitable for student cognitive, emotional, physical, and social development.
- Not disrupt the school environment or inhibit the operation of any school.
- Not promote tobacco, alcohol, drugs, weapons or political party.
- Not vulgar, offensive, sexual, or obscene.

No advertisement shall be construed as an endorsement of the goods or services by the Board or Altoona School District. The Board reserves the right to reject any advertisement for any reason.

The Superintendent shall provide an annual review to the Board that assesses the budgetary and educational impact of advertising within the District.

## ADVERTISING/PROMOTING THE SCHOOLS

The district's employees shall not use the name of the district or of a school, or their own official titles in the commercial promotion of any product, process or service normally associated with school operations.

1. The school may cooperate in furthering the work of any non-profit community wide social service agency, provided that such cooperation does not restrict or impair the educational program of the schools.
2. The schools may use films or other educational materials bearing only simple mention of the producing of sponsoring firm.
3. The schools may participate in radio or television programs under acceptable commercial sponsorship when such participation is supplementary or beneficial to the program of the schools.
4. The administrator may, at his/her discretion, announce or authorize to be announced any lecture or other community activity of particular educational merit.
5. The schools may, upon approval of the administrator, cooperate with any governmental agency in promoting activities in the general public interest which are nonpartisan and noncontroversial and which promote the education or other best interests of the pupils.
6. School publications may accept and publish paid advertising under established procedure.
7. The school may allow the logo or similar business identification to be displayed in an unobtrusive manner if the school solicited business cooperation and support for school functions and interest.
8. When the administrative team feels that the educational gain outweighs any promotional purposes, approval may be given.
9. Promotion of profit organizations will be allowed if the instructional programs warrant the promotion of supplies or materials and the price of the item or materials is economically advantageous to the student.

Any advertisement unrelated to an educational program for direct personal gain of an individual or profit organization is not allowed.

Initial Adoption: 07/06/81
Final Adoption: 09/01/81
Amended: 07/19/93

# SCHOOL DISTRICT OF ALTOONA 

## TITLE: Coordinator of Talented and Gifted Students

JOB ANALYSIS: The K-12 Gifted and Talented Education Coordinator is responsible for developing, implementing, and continuously evaluating/improving an exemplary Gifted and Talented program. He or she shall develop and coordinate ongoing district-wide staff development programming in the areas of differentiation and Gifted and Talented education.

## REPORTS TO: District Administrator

COORDINATES WITH: Teaching staff, administration, curriculum director, school counselors, school psychologist, parents, volunteers, students

## PERFORMANCE RESPONSIBILITIES:

- Creates, revises, and updates a Gifted and Talented Education Program Plan based on latest trends and development in gifted education.
- Develops, implements, and communicates research-based procedures for identifying gifted and talented students.
- Establishes and promotes ongoing communication and collaboration with teaching staff, administration, counselors, school psychologist, and parents.
- Provides coordination and expertise to ensure systematic and continuous Pre-K to 12 programming and flexibility in curriculum planning for the exceptional needs of gifted students.
- Assists staff in developing specialized learning activities for students who have needs beyond differentiation in the classroom curriculum. Activities may include, but are not limited to cluster grouping, pull-out programs, cross-grade offerings, on-line programs, college courses, independent projects, internships, etc.
- Develops and implements an ongoing staff development plan related to differentiation and Gifted and Talented programming.
- Keeps abreast of most current trends and research in Gifted and Talented education. Ensures state statutes and district policy regarding Gifted education are being met. Attends appropriate meetings, conferences, conventions, etc.
- Collects, analyzes and evaluates student data. Develops and maintains process for student progress monitoring, program evaluation, and continuous improvement for gifted learners. Maintains a record of parental and staff communications.
- Assumes a leadership role in all activities associated with Gifted and Talented education.

Coordinator of Talented and Gifted Students - Page 2

- Develops and coordinates public relations efforts, special projects, and events related to Gifted and Talented education.
- Develops and maintains a program budget. Budgets and manages expenditures necessary to Gifted and Talented programming.
- Performs other tasks and accepts other responsibilities as assigned.

EVALUATION: Performance of this job will be evaluated in accordance with the provisions of the Board's policy on evaluation of administrative staff.

TERMS OF EMPLOYMENT: 198 days; salary to be established by the Board.

## QUALIFICATIONS \& EDUCATIONAL REQUIREMENTS:

## Requirements:

1. Valid State of Wisconsin Teacher's license
2. Working toward Gifted and Talented Coordinator license or willing to pursue and acquire licensure within three years of hire
3. At least three years of successful classroom teaching experience
4. Outstanding interpersonal skills to deal courteously and effectively with students, parents, teachers, administrators, and the public

## Strongly preferred:

1. Experience working in or supervising a Gifted and Talented program
2. Experience working with children in the differentiated teaching environment

# NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING <br> AND JOINT REVIEW BOARD MEETING REGARDING THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT <br> OF THE PROJECT PLAN TO TAX INCREMENTAL DISTRICT NO. 3 IN THE CITY OF ALTOONA, WISCONSIN 

Notice is Hereby Given that the City of Altoona will hold an organizational Joint Review Board meeting on May 14, 2012 at 5:30 p.m. at the Altoona City Hall, located at 1303 Lynn Avenue. The purpose of this meeting is to organize a Joint Review Board for purposes of considering the proposed amendment of the Project Plan for Tax Incremental District No. 3 (the "District").

Notice is Hereby Given that the Plan Commission will hold a public hearing on May 14, 2012 at 6:00 p.m. at the Altoona City Hall, located at 1303 Lynn Avenue, for the purpose of providing the community a reasonable opportunity to comment upon the proposed amendment of the District.

The proposed additional and updated projects costs may also include, but are not limited to: various public improvements and cash grants to owners, lessees or developers of land located within the district (development incentives), and professional and organizational services, administrative costs, and finance costs.

The proposed amendment is to allow for the District to incur project costs outside of, but within $1 / 2$ mile of, the boundaries of the District as permitted under Wisconsin Statutes s.66.1105(2)(f) 1.n.

All interested parties will be given a reasonable opportunity to express their views on the proposed Project Plan Amendment. A copy of the proposed Project Plan Amendment will be available for viewing in the offices of the City Clerk at the Altoona City Hall, located at 1303 Lynn Avenue, during normal business hours and will be provided upon request.

Such hearing shall be public and citizens and interested parties shall then be heard. This hearing may be adjourned from time to time.

## AGENDA

## JOINT REVIEW BOARD

# TAX INCREMENTAL DISTRICT NO. 3 PROJECT PLAN AMENDMENT <br> WITHIN THE CITY OF ALTOONA, WISCONSIN 

May 14, 2012 at 5:30 p.m.
Altoona City Hall
1303 Lynn Avenue

1. Call to order
2. Consideration and appointment and/or reappointment of the Joint Review Board's public member
3. Election and/or reappointment of Chairperson
4. Discuss responsibilities of the Joint Review Board
5. Review \& discuss project plan amendment
6. Set next meeting date
7. Adjourn

# Altoona Library Board Agenda Wednesday, May 16, 2012 <br> 8:30 A.M. in the library 

1. Call Meeting to Order
2. Roll call for Library Board
3. Approval of Minutes
4. President's report
5. Approval of expenses
6. Budget
7. EC County Library Planning Committee
8. Workroom shelving and Circ Desk repair
9. Sierra
10.Librarian's report
a. Shared system update
b. Circulation
c. Programming
d. Self-Check update
e. Workroom shelving and Circ Desk repair update
10. Schedule next meeting \& items for the agenda.
11. Adjourn
[^2]Requests from persons with disabilities who need assistance to participate in this meeting or hearing should be made to the Library Director at 839-5029 with as much advance notice as possible.

## CHANGES IN SCHOOL FOODSERVICE

RAISE PRICE OF MEALS<br>OFFER WATER<br>WELLNESS POLICY UPDATES AND CHANGES<br>KEEP ALA-CARTE SALES SEPARATE<br>BREAKFAST INFORMATION TO PUBLIC<br>DIRECT CERTIFICATION 4 TIMES A YEAR<br>POST REIMBURSABLE MEALS DAILY FOR STUDENTS<br>3 YEAR REVIEW INSTEAD OF EVERY 5 YEARS

LUCNH MENU CHANGES FOR 12-13

```
GREEN/ORANGE/BEANS/OTHER VEGETABLE SUB GROUPS MUST BE OFFERED WEEKLY CALORIE LEVEL LOWER
DIFFERENT SIZE PORTIONS MUST BE OFFERED PER AGE GROUP
OFFER-V-SERVE (MUST TAKE ½ CUP FRUIT OR VEGETABLE)
ZERO TRANS FAT
SINGLE FOOD BASE MENU PLAN
ONLY TWO GRAIN BASED DESSERTS CAN BE OFFERED PER WEEK IF IT FITS INTO THE REQUIREMENTS
WHOLE GRAIN ONLY GRAIN PRODUCTS CAN NOW BE OFFERED
OFFER WEEKLY GRAIN RANGES (MAXIMUM)
OFFER ONLY FAT FREE FLAVORED MILK AND LOW FAT UNFLAVORED
WORK DOWN TO ½ SODIUM AMOUNT CURRENTLY OFFERED
NEW SALAD BAR REQUIREMENTS
```


## BREAKFAST MENU CHANGES IN 13-14

FOLLOW NUTRITION REQUIREMENTS FOR WHOLE GRAIN, MILK, FAT, SODIUM \& CALORIES
OFFER 1 CUP OF FRUIT
OFFER-V-SERVE MUST TAKE ½ FRUIT DAILY

# School District of Altoona 

 Food and Nutrition Department1903 Bartlett Avenue • Altoona, Wisconsin 54720
715-839-6056•Fax 715-552-4482•pehrhard@altoona.k12.wi.us
http://www.altoona.k12.wi.us/foodservice Altoona is an equal opportunity provider
"On Track with Altoona Food Service"

May 21, 2012
TO: Altoona School Board

## RE: Meal Prices

The passing of the Healthy Hunger-Free Kids Act on December 13, 2010 included "Lunch Paid Equity" USDA section 205 \& 206. This is a new rule on meal prices. All schools must have at least the federal reimbursement cost for free meals. Altoona will have to increase by $\$ 0.52$ for our lunch meals. The rule states that we do not have to make an increase of more than 10 cents per year.

Therefore please vote to increase the meal price for high school, middle school, elementary, and adult lunches by $\$ 0.10$. New prices will be:
High school \$2.15
Middle school \$2.15
Elementary $\$ 2.00$
Adult \$3.10

This will fulfill this year's price increase.
Sincerely,


Peggy Ehrhard
Foodservice Supervisor

# School District of Altoona 

Food and Nutrition Department
1903 Bartlett Avenue • Altoona, Wisconsin 54720
715-839-6056•Fax 715-552-4482•pehrhard@altoona.k12.wi.us
http://www.altoona.k12.wi.us/foodservice Altoona is an equal opportunity provider
"On Track with Altoona Food Service"

May 21, 2012
TO: Altoona School Board
RE: Milk bid for 12-13 school year
Milk bids were sent out on March 29, 2012 to Kemps, Morning Glory and Indianhead
Foodservice. Bids were opened on May 3, 2012. Kemps and Morning Glory were the only bids. Both bids used an escalator clause and the price will go up and down monthly depending on the class I skim milk and butterfat as published by Central Milk Producers Cooperative in their monthly price announcement. Using this bid Morning Glory came in at $\$ 71138.15$ and Kemps at $\$ 75637.00$ with a difference of $\$ 4499.00$.
It is my recommendation that Morning Glory be awarded the bid for the school year 12-13.
Sincerely,


Peggy Ehrhard CDM CFPP
Foodservice Supervisor

# School District of Altoona 

Food and Nutrition Department
1903 Bartlett Avenue • Altoona, Wisconsin 54720
715-839-6056•Fax 715-552-4482• pehrhard@altoona.k12.wi.us
http://www.altoona.k12.wi.us/foodservice Altoona is an equal opportunity provider
"On Track with Altoona Food Service"

May 21, 2012
TO: School Board

## RE: Wisconsin School Day Milk Program

The WI School Day Milk Program is provided for K-4 $4^{\text {th }}$ grade. Parents currently pay 40 cents per carton of milk and free and reduced students receive the program for free. Over the past several years the amount received from the state for reimbursement for the free and reduced students has declined. The amount that the full price students pay has taken up the negative balance amount in past years. Last year however we were in the red by approximately \$1,000.00.
Lisa Boss did an on line survey with parents and I did a teacher survey. Results are attached. They confirm that both parents and teachers would like to keep the program. With the new regulations ala- carte money can not take up the shortfall. We have cut our labor in $1 / 2$ already for the second half of this year. Here are a few suggestions on how to take care of the shortfall.

1. raise the cost of milk by 5 cents per carton
2. have teaching staff pick up their own milk

Sincerely,


Peggy Ehrhard CDM CFPP
Foodservice Supervisor

## Parents

## MILK BREAK SURVEY

1. Does your child(ren) participate in the milk break program? 92 yes 8 no
2. Do you feel this is a valuable service for your child(ren) ? 93 yes 7 no
3. Do you want the program to continue? 94 yes 6 no
4. Would you continue with the program if the price is increased by $\$ 0.05$ per carton of milk? 86 yes 14 no
5. Do you qualify for free or reduced price meals 18 yes 81 no
A. Would you continue in the program if you had to pay for it? 18 yes 2 no

## TEACHERS

I NEED YOUR HELP! The Wisconsin School Day milk program has been cut for the last several years. We now are at a point of being in the red. I would like to collect data \& go before the school board with the information. Could you please fill out this survey and return to my mail box please? There will be a survey for parents to fill out on line. Thank you!!

1. Do you feel this is a valuable service for the students? 25 yes 1 no
2. Do you feel the students are more alert \& attentive with the milk break? 20 yes 4 no
3. Do you want the program to continue?

23 yes 1 no 1 either
4. Do you feel any students are felling left out if they do not sign up for the milk break?

7 yes 19 no
5. Is the program hard for you to enter into the computer? 2 yes 24 no

Thanks again!! Please return by Friday March 30.

Peggy Ehrhard Foodservice Supervisor

| Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Breaded Chicken Nuggets OR | Popcorn Chicken OR | Mozzarella Sticks w/Sauce OR | Beef and Cheese Nachos OR | Ham \& Cheese Sub OR |
| Cheeseburger OR | Peanutbutter Uncrustable w/ Cheese Stick | Mini Corndogs OR | Fish Sticks w/ Tarter Sauce OR | Hot Dog on Bun |
| Domino's Pizza | OR <br> BBQ Pork Rib on Bun | Crispy Chicken Strips | Egg Roll | Schwan's Pizza |
| Raw Veggies | Hash Browns | Sweet Potato Fries | Lettuce \& tomatoes | Refried Beans |
| Broccoli | Mixed Greens Salad | Mixed Vegetables | Red Beans \& Rice | Whole Kernel Corn |
| Peaches | Green Beans | Spinach Salad | Green Peas | Tossed Salad |
| Mandarin Oranges | Orange Wedges Sliced Pears | Tropical fruit | Blue Raspberry Applesauce Whole Grain Cookie | Pineapple Tidbits Strawberries |
| Double Stuff Pizza OR | General Tso’s Chicken OR | Crispy Chicken Strips OR | Italian Dunkers OR | Altoona Burger on a Bun OR |
| Chicken Ranch Wrap Or | Corn Dog OR | Railroader Cold Cut Sub | Chicken Quasadilla | Turkey and Cheese Salad w/ Bread Stick |
| BBQ on Bun Domino's Pizza | Altoona Burger | OR Double Stuff Pizza | Chicken Patty on Bun | $$ |
| Tater Tots | Fried Rice | Macaroni \& Cheese | Whole Kernel Corn | Baked Beans |
| Baby Carrots | Squash | Green Beans | Spinach Salad | Sliced Pears |
| Sliced Peaches | Tossed Salad | Mixed Greens Salad | Cinnamon Applesauce | Coleslaw |
| Triple Berries | Pineapple Tidbits Seasonal Fresh Fruit | Mandarin Oranges | Frozen Fruit Icy | Tropical Fruit |
| Cheese Pizza | Turkey \& Gravy | Mozzarella Sticks w/Sauce | Beef and Cheese Nachos | Chicken Nuggets |
| OR | OR | OR | OR | OR |
| Fish Sticks | Meatball Marinara Melt | Chili w/Saltines | Deli Turkey Sub | Lasagna Roll Up |
| OR | OR | OR | OR | OR |
| Breaded Chicken Nuggets <br> Domino's Pizza | Fiesta Chicken Rice Wrap | Hot Dog on Bun | BBQ Shredded Pork on a Bun | Schwan's Pizza |
| Sweet Potato Bites | Dinner Roll | Cornbread/Baked Potato | Refried Beans | Tater Tots |
| Romaine Salad | Whipped Potatoes | Steamed Broccoli | Lettuce \& Tomatoes | Asian Vegetables |
| Whole Kernel Corn | Green Beans | Mixed Greens Salad | Sliced Carrots | Sliced Peaches |
| Pineapple Tidbits | Banana | Flavored Applesauce | Mandarin Oranges | Fresh Apple |
|  | Sliced Pears | Tropical Fruit Oatmeal Bar | Sliced Pears |  |
| Chicken Patty on Bun | Mini Corn Dogs | Popcorn Chicken | Soft Shell Taco w/ Fixings | Cheese Pizza |
| OR | OR | OR | OR | OR |
| Fish Square/Tarter Sauce | Spaghetti w/ Meat Sauce | Deli Turkey Sub | Hot Dog on Bun | Ham \& Cheese Sub |
| OR | OR | OR | OR | OR |
| Domino's Pizza | Chicken Fajita | Buffalo Cheese Cruncher | Hot Meatball Sub | Mini Corn Dogs |
|  |  |  |  | Schwan's Pizza |
| Potato Wedges | French Bread | Green Beans | Lettuce \& Tomatoes | Sweet Potato Fries |
| California Blend Vegetables | Whole Kernel Corn | Squash | Broccoli | Baked Beans |
| Cesear Salad | Tossed Salad w/ Dressing | Mixed Green Salad | Sliced Peaches | Raw Veggies |
| Pineapple Tidbits | Raw Veggies | Orange Wedges | Mandarin Oranges | Pear Slices |
| (Whole Grain Cookie HS only) | Tropical Fruit |  |  | Strawberries |
| Lasagna Roll Up | Toasted Cheese Sandwich | Burrito/Chili cheese Max | Beef and Cheese Nachos | Cheese Pizza |
| OR | OR | OR | OR | OR |
| Chicken Patty on Bun | Hot Dog on Bun | Chicken Fingers | Fish Sticks | New Orleans Chicken |
| OR | OR | OR | OR | OR |
| Domino's Pizza | Meatball Marinara Melt | BBQ Chicken Melt | Ham \& Cheese on Bun | Turkey Deli Sub |
|  |  |  |  | Schwan's Pizza |
| Green Peas | Tomato Soup | Curly Fries | Lettuce \& Tomatoes |  |
| Mixed Green Salad | Raw Veggies | Steamed Broccoli | Green Beans | Fried Rice |
| Flavored Applesauce | Coleslaw | Pineapple Tidbits | Mandarin Oranges | Asian Vegetables |
| Triple Berries | Peach Slices | Seasonal Fresh Fruit | Corn \& Bean Salsa | Spinach Salad |
|  | Pear Slices | (whole grain cky hs only) | Dried Cherries | Tropical Fruit Sliced Pears |

NOTE: All menus are subject to change due to availability. Lowfat milk is offered with each meal and includes choice of $1 \%$, skim, OR chocolate skim. Elementary students can choose from first or second choice entrée only. Portion sizes are age appropriate according to USDA requirements. Students must take $1 / 2$ cup of fruit or vegetables. Menus are low in fat. Some entrees may contain soy products. Peggy Ehrhard, Food \& Nutrition Supervisor, at 715-8396056. Altoona is an equal opportunity employer.

Network Infrastructure Upgrade Project
Proposal to the Altoona School District Board 5/21/2012
By Mark Scheppke
The network infrastructure upgrade project consists of replacing the current 6-7 year old HewlettPackard network switches with Cisco switches. The typical life cycle for network equipment is about 68 years. In the past year, we have had to replace 3 of the switches because of failure. Other switches are showing signs of failure such as squealing fans and intermittent shutdowns.

As the school district becomes a partner in the CINC network, we have new wireless equipment that was awarded to us as part of a BTOP grant funded by ARRA dollars. More and more computers used in our schools are connecting to our network wirelessly. The new wireless equipment provides up to 10 times the speed of our current wireless equipment. To be able to take advantage of the higher wireless speeds the wired portion of the network needs to be upgraded. In addition to the new wireless equipment awarded to us, our connection to the Internet through the CINC network will be expanded from 40 mbps to 100 mbps with a reduction in cost.

Our Technology plan will begin to formalize a Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) program to help students meet their educational goals. As BYOD becomes more prevalent this network upgrade has the capacity to grow to meet the bandwidth demands.

Becoming a partner in the CINC network and using like network equipment, partners such as public safety departments may be able to access our network including our surveillance cameras to help manage emergency situations and use us as a hotspot making communication faster and more effective. Because many other CINC partners will be using the same type of equipment support is very available from UWEC, Mayo, Sacred Heart, City, County engineers that can help us save money by having others help us.

As the backbone of the entire technology program, having a fast and reliable network is essential for students and staff to get the most from the technology tools we provide. The proposal to replace the current infrastructure will provide a network that is faster, more reliable, and scalable for future growth.

Speaking of future growth, this network upgrade will provide the necessary infrastructure foundation for a replacement telephone switch. Our current telephone switch is aging most of the telephone handsets are 15 years old. We have had 2 voicemail failures in the past year causing significant interruption of the district's normal operation. As the telephone continues to age failures will become more frequent.

Both vendors providing quotes are state contract vendors for the equipment quoted. I recommend accepting the proposed quote from RMM Solutions for two reasons. First, the RMM Solution price is approximately $\$ 17,000$ lower. Secondly, RMM Solutions are based in Wausau and have technical support personnel in the Chippewa Valley which can reduce cost if support is needed in the future.

RMM Solutions Proposal \$127,640.25
CoreBTS Proposal \$144,599.20


09 May 2012 USD

RMM Solutions

Library Creation Date
Account Manager Email Address Office Number




Customer Service Rep:

Phone: $608-661-7726$$\quad$\begin{tabular}{l}
Account Manager: Madison House <br>
Fax:

$\quad$

Phone: 608-661-7700 <br>
E-mail: daniel.moyer@corebts.com

$\quad$

Fax: <br>
<br>
E-mail: nancy.pautsch@corebts.com
\end{tabular}

School District of Altoona
Accounts Payable
Altoona, WI 54720

## Ship To:

1903 Bartlett Avenue
Altoona, WI 54720

| Quote Number: | 000 Q 2642 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Customer Account \#:0011171 |  |
| Quote Date: | $05 / 14 / 2012$ |
| Payment Terms: | 25 |
| Customer: | School District of Altoona |
| Shipping Method: | BEST WAY |

Quote Number: 000Q2642
Customer Account \#:0011171
Quote Date: 05/14/2012

Shipping Method: BEST WAY

| Qty | Item Number | Description | Price | Ext Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MDF |  |  |  |  |
|  | WS-C2960S-24TD-L | Catalyst 2960 S 24 GigE, $2 \times 10 \mathrm{G}$ SFP+ LAN Base | \$2,652.05 | \$2,652.05 |
|  | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 1 | GLC-T= | 1000BASE-T SFP | \$233.05 | \$233.05 |
|  |  |  | SubTotal | \$2,885.10 |
|  | WS-C2960S-48FPD-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, $2 \times 10 \mathrm{G}$ SFP+ LAN Base | \$5,602.05 | \$5,602.05 |
|  | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50 cm stacking cable | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base | \$885.00 | \$885.00 |
|  | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | GLC-T= | 1000BASE-T SFP | \$233.05 | \$233.05 |
|  |  |  | SubTotal | \$6,720.10 |
|  | WS-C2960S-48FPS-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, $4 \times$ SFP LAN Base | \$4,422.05 | \$4,422.05 |
|  | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50 cm stacking cable | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base | \$885.00 | \$885.00 |
|  | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | SubTotal | \$5,307.05 |
|  | WS-C3750X-12S-S | Catalyst 3750X 12 Port GE SFP IP Base | \$5,900.00 | \$5,900.00 |
|  | C3KX-PWR-350WAC | Catalyst 3K-X 350W AC Power Supply | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | S375XVK9T-12258SE | CAT 3750X IOS UNIVERSAL WITH WEB BASE DEV MGR | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | C3KX-PWR-350WAC/2 | Catalyst 3K-X 350W AC Secondary Power Supply | \$295.00 | \$295.00 |
|  | CAB-3KX-AC | AC Power Cord for Catalyst 3K-X (North America) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | CAB-SPWR-30CM | Catalyst 3750X Stack Power Cable 30 CM | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | CAB-STACK-50CM | Cisco StackWise 50CM Stacking Cable | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | CON-SNT-C375X12S | SMARTNET 8X5XNBD Catalyst 3750X 12 Port GE SFP IP Base | \$816.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | GLC-SX-MM = | GE SFP, LC connector SX transceiver | \$295.00 | \$2,655.00 |
| 2 GLC-T= |  | 1000BASE-T SFP | \$233.05 | \$466.10 |
|  |  |  | SubTotal | \$9,316.10 |

## Closet B

| WS-C2960S-48FPD-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, $2 \times 10 \mathrm{G}$ SFP+ LAN Base | \$5,602.05 | \$5,602.05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50cm stacking cable | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base | \$885.00 | \$885.00 |
| CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| GLC-SX-MM $=$ | GE SFP, LC connector SX transceiver | \$295.00 | \$295.00 |
|  |  | SubTotal | \$6,782.05 |
| WS-C2960S-48FPS-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, $4 \times$ SFP LAN Base | \$4,422.05 | \$4,422.05 |
| CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50 cm stacking cable | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base | \$885.00 | \$885.00 |


| CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $\$ 0.00$ |
| $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 3 0 7 . 0 5}$ |  |

## Closet C

| 1 | WS-C2960S-48FPD-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE $740 \mathrm{~W}, 2 \times 10 \mathrm{G}$ SFP+ LAN Base |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50 cm stacking cable |
| 1 | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base |
| 1 | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG |
| 1 | GLC-SX-MM $=$ | GE SFP, LC connector SX transceiver |
|  |  |  |
| 2 | WS-C2960S-48FPS-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, 4 x SFP LAN Base |
| 2 | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50cm stacking cable |
| 2 | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base |
| 2 | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG |

## Closet I

| 1 | WS-C2960S-24PS-L | Catalyst 2960S 24 GigE PoE $370 \mathrm{~W}, 4 \times$ SFP LAN Base |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50 cm stacking cable |
| 1 | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960 S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base |
| 1 | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | WS-C2960S-48FPD-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE $740 \mathrm{~W}, 2 \times 10 \mathrm{G}$ SFP+ LAN Base |
| 1 | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50cm stacking cable |
| 1 | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base |
| 1 | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG |
| 1 | GLC-SX-MM $=$ | GE SFP, LC connector SX transceiver |

## Closet D

| 1 | WS-C2960S-48FPD-L |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | CAB-STK-E-0.5M |
| 1 | C2960S-STACK |
| 1 | CAB-16AWG-AC |
| 1 | GLC-SX-MM $=$ |

WS-C2960S-48FPS-L
CAB-STK-E-0.5M
C2960S-STACK
CAB-16AWG-AC
Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, $2 \times 10 \mathrm{G}$ SFP+ LAN Base
Cisco FlexStack 50 cm stacking cable
Catalyst 2960 S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base
AC Power cord, 16AWG
GE SFP, LC connector SX transceiver
Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE $740 \mathrm{~W}, 4 \times$ SFP LAN Base
Cisco FlexStack 50 cm stacking cable
Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base
AC Power cord, 16AWG

| $\$ 5,602.05$ | $\$ 5,602.05$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| $\$ 885.00$ | $\$ 885.00$ |
| $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| $\$ 295.00$ | $\$ 295.00$ |
| SubTotal | $\$ 6,782.05$ |
| $\$ 4,422.05$ | $\$ 4,422.05$ |
| $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| $\$ 885.00$ | $\$ 885.00$ |
| $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| SubTotal | $\$ 5,307.05$ |


| Catalyst 2960S 24 GigE PoE 370W, $4 \times$ SFP LAN Base | $\$ 2,357.05$ | $\$ 2,357.05$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Cisco FlexStack 50cm stacking cable | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base | $\$ 885.00$ | $\$ 885.00$ |
| AC Power cord, 16AWG | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
|  | SubTotal | $\$ \mathbf{3 , 2 4 2 . 0 5}$ |
| Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, $2 \times 10 G ~ S F P+~ L A N ~ B a s e ~$ | $\$ 5,602.05$ | $\$ 5,602.05$ |
| Cisco FlexStack 50cm stacking cable | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base | $\$ 885.00$ | $\$ 885.00$ |
| AC Power cord, 16AWG | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| GE SFP, LC connector SX transceiver | $\$ 295.00$ | $\$ 295.00$ |
|  | $\mathbf{S u b T o t a l}$ | $\$ 6,782.05$ |
| Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, $4 \times$ SFP LAN Base | $\$ 4,422.05$ | $\$ 4,422.05$ |


| 1 | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50 cm stacking cable | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base | \$885.00 | \$885.00 |
| 1 | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | SubTotal | \$5,307.05 |

## Closet F

| 1 | WS-C2960S-48FPD-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE $740 \mathrm{~W}, 2 \times 10 \mathrm{G}$ SFP+ LAN Base |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50cm stacking cable |
| 1 | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base |
| 1 | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG |
| 1 | GLC-SX-MM $=$ | GE SFP, LC connector SX transceiver |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | WS-C2960S-48FPS-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, 4 x SFP LAN Base |
| 1 | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50cm stacking cable |
| 1 | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base |
| 1 | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG |

## Closet G

WS-C2960S-48FPS-L
CAB-STK-E-0.5M
C2960S-STACK
CAB-16AWG-AC
GLC-SX-MM $=$
Catalyst 2960 S 48 GigE PoE $740 \mathrm{~W}, 4 \times$ SFP LAN Base
Cisco FlexStack 50 cm stacking cable
Catalyst 2960 S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base
AC Power cord, 16AWG
GE SFP, LC connector SX transceiver

| $\$ 4,422.05$ | $\$ 4,422.05$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| $\$ 885.00$ | $\$ 885.00$ |
| $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| $\$ 295.00$ | $\$ 295.00$ |
| SubTotal | $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 6 0 2 . 0 5}$ |


| Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, $2 \times 10 G$ SFP+ LAN Base | $\$ 5,602.05$ | $\$ 5,602.05$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Cisco FlexStack 50cm stacking cable | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base | $\$ 885.00$ | $\$ 885.00$ |
| AC Power cord, 16AWG | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| GE SFP, LC connector SX transceiver | $\$ 295.00$ | $\$ 295.00$ |
|  | SubTotal | $\$ 6,782.05$ |
| Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, 4 x SFP LAN Base | $\$ 4,422.05$ | $\$ 4,422.05$ |
| Cisco FlexStack 50cm stacking cable | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base | $\$ 885.00$ | $\$ 885.00$ |
| AC Power cord, 16AWG | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
|  | $\mathbf{S u b T o t a l}$ | $\$ 5,307.05$ |
| Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, 4 x SFP LAN Base | $\$ 4,422.05$ | $\$ 4,422.05$ |
| Cisco FlexStack 50cm stacking cable | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base | $\$ 885.00$ | $\$ 885.00$ |
| AC Power cord, 16AWG | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Closet J

| 1 | WS-C2960S-48FPD-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE $740 \mathrm{~W}, 2 \times 10 \mathrm{G}$ SFP+ LAN Base |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50 cm stacking cable |
| 1 | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base |
| 1 | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG |
| 1 | GLC-SX-MM $=$ | GE SFP, LC connector SX transceiver |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | WS-C2960S-48FPS-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, $4 \times$ SFP LAN Base |
| 1 | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50cm stacking cable |
| 1 | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base |
| 1 | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG |


| Qty | Item Number | Description | Price | Ext Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | WS-C2960S-48FPS-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, $4 \times$ SFP LAN Base | \$4,422.05 | \$4,422.05 |
| 1 | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50 cm stacking cable | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 1 | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base | \$885.00 | \$885.00 |
| 1 | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | SubTotal | \$5,307.05 |
| 1 | INSTALL | INSTALL SERVICES | \$18,000.00 | \$18,000.00 |
|  |  |  | SubTotal | \$18,000.00 |
| 1 | DISCOUNT | Core BTS/Cisco Discount | -\$17,042.20 | -\$17,042.20 |
| 1 | NOFGHT | NO FREIGHT CHARGE TO CLIENT |  |  |
| 1 | WI-CISCO-CONTRACT | CONTRACT\# 15-20664-01 |  |  |

Quote Subtotal:
Sales Tax:
Quote Total:
\$144,599.20
$\$ 0.00$
\$144,599.20
$\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$

This proposal is confidential, and shall not be used or disclosed, in whole or in part, for any purpose other than evaluation within the client organization. All product and pricing information is based on the latest information available and is subject to change without notice. All prices are in U.S. dollars. Prices and tax rates are valid in the U.S. only and are subject to change. Sales tax is based on the "ship to" address on your purchase order. Please indicate your taxability status on your purchase order. Product availability is subject to change and cannot be guaranteed. All shipments are FOB origin. Appropriate freight charges will be added at the time of invoice.

Core BTS, Inc.
3001 W. Beltline Hwy Madison, WI 53713
www.corebts.com

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Name: | School District of Altoona |  |  |  |
| DPI District \#: | 0112 |  |  |  |
| Contact Name: | Mark | Scheppke | mscheppke@altoona.k12.wi.us |  |
| Lib/Media Contact: (if different than above) | Roberta | Kuchta | bkuchta@altoona.k12.wi.us |  |
| Tech Contact: <br> (if different than above) | Mark | Scheppke | mscheppke@altoona.k12.wi.us |  |
| District Administrator | Greg | Fahrman | gfahrman@altoona.k12.wi.us |  |
| Creation Date: (for E-rate only) | 11/1/2012 | This is a required element for E-Rate priority 2 funding. This is the date that all E-rate required elements are in your plan. This date must be before you file your E-Rate form 470. See; http://www.usac. org/sl/applicants/step02/technology-planning/default.aspx) |  |  |
| Board Approval: |  |  |  |  |
| CESA or Other Approval: (optional) | 5/22/2012 | CESA \#10 | Neil Johnson | njohnson@cesa10. k12.wi.us |
| DPI approval: | <date> | <name> | TechPlan@dpi.wi.gov |  |
| Technology Coordinator | Mark | Scheppke | School District of Altoona |  |
| District LMC Director | Roberta | Kuchta | School District of Altoona |  |
| MS Principal | Jack | Wagener | School District of Altoona |  |
| Pupil Services Director | Karen | Henry | School District of Altoona |  |
| HS Principal | Jeff | Pepowski | School District of Altoona |  |
| ES Principal | Chelsea | Bellville | School District of Altoona |  |
| Technology Aide | Beth | Revello | School District of Altoona |  |
| HS Teacher | Lisa | Skifstad | School District of Altoona |  |
| ES Teacher | Shelly | Pierson | School District of Altoona |  |
| ES Teacher | Ryan | Wundrow | School District of Altoona |  |
| MS Teacher | Jill | Phippen | School District of Altoona |  |
| MS Teacher | Kim | Wardean | School District of Altoona |  |
| HS Teacher | Judy | DeShong | School District of Altoona |  |
| ES Teacher | Amanda | Miller | School District of Altoona |  |
| School Board Member | Robin | Elvig | School District of Altoona |  |
| Assistive Technology Consultant | Becky | Kowalcyk | CESA 10 |  |
| Parent/Community Member |  |  |  |  |

## Introduction and Purpose

The Information and Technology Plan of the School District of Altoona is the result of a process that combines the library and technology plans into one document. Throughout this plan the Library/Media and Technology programs are referred to as Information and Technology programs. This is a recognition that a primary purpose of both programs is to provide students with the skills and tools required to use information and technology tools in the 21st century. As a result of the planning process, the district Information and Technology Committee will be called the IT committee. The primary focus of the combined plan is to increase student achievement through utilizing information and technology resources in research-supported ways. The plan seeks to fulfill the district's mission to "build a foundation for life-long learning and the emotional well-being of our students."

The process for developing the plan involved gathering and analyzing information from a wide range of sources and evaluating the previous plan. This information was then used as a basis for establishing the plan's goals, objectives and action plans to better address the needs of the school community. Central to the implementation of the 2012-2015 plan are efforts to integrate information and technology literacy within the curriculum, taking into consideration the new Common Core State Standards in English Language Arts, Math and Science. This plan includes goals to communicate to the community the effectiveness of using information and technology resources for learning. Improving or at a minimum, maintaining the current level of services provided by the library media and technology staffs is crucial to fulfilling the goals and objectives expressed in this plan.

The plan's goals, objectives and program information will be disseminated to the community through district newsletters and postings on the district web site. News releases will be provided to various media outlets, such as newspapers and television stations, as educational activities incorporating information and technology occur within the schools.

The planning committee conducted a literature review of research on the use of information and technology in schools and the classroom, specifically its relationship to student academic achievement. This information was shared via literature discussions to prepare for the creation of the School District of Altoona's Information and Technology Plan.

The School District of Altoona's Information and Technology Plan is the foundation for the application of library/media and technology services. This plan is designed to assist students, teachers, administrators, parents and community members by supporting the vision and mission of the school district.

These beliefs have led to an information and technology vision which states that the School District of Altoona will use information and technology services to improve student achievement by developing a set of skills that will help students survive and thrive in the 21st century. To accomplish this vision, the information and technology mission is to stimulate student learning by providing access to current information and technologies by integrating information and technology literacy across the curriculum. Recognizing the importance of parental and community involvement in the
education of all students, technology and information literacy resources will be shared with the community.

## Community/School District Demographics

Altoona is a community of approximately 7,000 residents, which is located adjacent to Eau Claire, WI. Although sometimes considered a "bedroom community", over two hundred small businesses call Altoona home. It has a diverse economic base including office, light industrial, and small business entrepreneurs.

The district serves approximately 1,550 students. The community takes pride in its educational system and has demonstrated that pride through strong support for academic and extra curricular endeavors.

The district has one early childhood special education center, a community-based 4-K program, one K-4 elementary school, one 5-8 middle school and one $9-12$ high school. The K-12 facilities are connected via enclosed walkways. The school population continues to become more ethnically, socially and economically diverse. The student population includes 12 percent EEN classified students and 39 percent who qualify for the free and reduced lunch programs.

## Needs Assessment

The goals and objectives for this plan were derived from a variety of sources. Following discussions with building Information Technology Committee members and reading current literature, we developed Information Technology Plan goals. We also looked at the data provided us through the STNA process and our district's WINNS data.

Our 2009-2012 IT goals and objectives that related to infrastructure, end-user devices, bandwidth, and wireless capabilities have been updated to reflect the need to increase our district's capacity. In our move toward the utilization of a greater number of wireless devices (BYOD initiatives included) it elevates the importance of reaching goals which move us toward increased bandwidth capabilities.

The information from our needs assessment STNA, taken in January, 2012, indicates that staff were very concerned of the following three areas: staff development, budgetary levels, and IT staffing levels.

The survey indicated that teachers were hungry for advanced staff development opportunities. Teachers articulated that they would benefit from professional development in the following areas:

- Identification, location, and evaluation of technology resources such as websites, that can be used with students.

■ Performance-based student assessment of students.
■ The use of technology to collect and analyze student assessment data.

- Learner-centered teaching strategies that incorporate technology, like project-based or cooperative learning.
- Online security and safety.
- The use of technology for differentiating instruction for students with special learning needs.
- Use of data for reflecting on professional practices.
- Alignment of lesson plans to content standards and student technology standards.

Currently, teachers have the opportunity to participate in many in-service options. With this new information, the district will provide targeted professional development to build staff capacity in the areas specified above. It is the intention of the district to continue using CESA 10's staff development services to help in this area. Effective modeling of technology use by administrators and recognition of innovative teachers can help to further the use of technology to enhance student achievement.

The district is now participating in the MAPS assessment program which should give teacher data regarding the progress of their classes toward reaching course goals. This data should also provide
information about individual students' strengths and weaknesses so they can target instruction for each student.

Another area of concern to staff is a lack of a sufficient budget for information and technology resources. Teachers' respones to the STNA survey indicated that students do not have sufficient computer hardware available for use. Teachers indicate that the infrastructure for accessing online resources, commincating internally and with families/community is currently meeting expectations. However, the rapid expansion of the use of wireless devices and online resources such as Discovery Education (video on demand) Google Apps for Education, YouTube, TeacherTube, grading, attendance, Student Access (Skyward) and many others will cause the fidelity of the network to quickly denegrate. To prepare for the expanding influence of student owned devices in the learning process as well as the increased use of web-based media, the planning and implementation of a next-generation network is imperative.

Thirdly, teachers indicated staffing levels as a major concern. Many teachers believe that they did not have ready access to technical support or to a technology assistant to troubleshoot hardware and software problems as they needed it. Also, nearly ninety percent of survey respondents felt that school libraries are inadequately staffed. Research clearly shows that students reach higher levels of achievement when school libraries are staffed by well trained professional library media personnel.
Compared to Wisconsin schools of similar socio-econmoic status, Altoona had double or greater the percentage of students scoring in the Minimum Proficient and Basic categories in the areas of Reading, Science and Math on the WKCE. This revelation indicates a need to improve teaching and learning in all of these areas. Even when compared to schools in CESA 10, we have room for improvement.

## Analysis/Summary of Relevant Research/Best Practices

The research examined by the planning committee points to the following:

From 1998 to the present, over 15 states (including Wisconsin, lowa, Minnesota and Michigan) have undertaken studies to determine the impact of school library media centers on student academic achievement.

Synopsis:

There is a clear and consistent finding that is supported by this research: a school library media program, with a fulltime library media specialist, support staff, and a strong computer network (one that connects the library's resources to classrooms and labs) leads to higher student achievement, regardless of social and economic factors in a community. Other clear findings supported by research are that there is a need for adequate training and support in order for technology to be used appropriately; it must be integrated into the curriculum; use of it must be directed toward higher order thinking skills; and it is an appropriate avenue for improving communication among a school's stakeholders.

Information (Library Media) \& Technology research have the following points in common:

- Schools with full-time certified library media specialists and full-time library aides have higher performance on the WKCE.
- Schools where the library media specialist spends more time on instructionally-related student and teacher activities have higher WKCE scores.
- Schools with greater library media program resources for collections and technology have higher performance on the WKCE.
- Library media specialists help students acquire unique skills not taught in the classroom and information and technology skills essential for students in the 21st century.'
- School libraries provide an equalized educational opportunity for all students.
- Principal support for the Library Media program and collaboration between classroom teachers and the media program is associated with higher academic achievement.
- Information technology that extends the reach of the Library Media program into the school's classrooms is associated with higher student achievement.
- Higher academic achievement is demonstrated where LMCs have a quality collection of materials which supports the curriculum.
- An adequate budget, required to support the LM program, is necessary for higher student achievement.
- LMC staff activities relating to leadership, collaboration and technology use are predictors of student academic achievement.
- Higher academic achievement is demonstrated where state of the art technology is integrated into the information seeking/teaching/learning process.
- Higher academic achievement is demonstrated where there is cooperation between Library Media Centers and public libraries (inter-library loan).
- Academic achievement of $\mathrm{K}-12$ students is higher where the Library Media specialist is a part of the planning/teaching team and works with students in a flexible schedule program.
- Media literacy training can result in young people becoming less vulnerable to the negative aspects of media exposure and more able to make good choices about how they use their time.
- Educational returns require that technology be viewed as providing tools to meet central educational goals, not as defining a new separate set of goals.
- Schools must invest in ongoing professional development, training and support services, not just in technology alone. Training teachers to integrate technology into curriculum is critical in successfully implementing technology in schools.
- The extent to which teachers are trained to use technology to support learning plays a role in determining whether technology has a positive impact on achievement.
- Access to the Internet and other resources is needed in order for students to benefit from technology.
- Educational technology plays a role in improving learning through instructional practice only when:

41 educators use a variety of models of curriculum design and learning strategies supported by technology.
51 educators support new, collaborative, professional practices.
61 administrators take an active role in the professional development of all staff.

- Adequate financial and staff support is essential if teachers are to use technology appropriately to promote learning for students in the classroom.
- Professional development activities should enhance teachers' curriculum, learning and assessment competencies and skill as well as classroom and instructional management competencies.
- Technology has the greatest impact when integrated into the curriculum to achieve clear measurable educational objectives.
- Higher order uses of computers are positively related to academic achievement, whereas drill and practice technology has proven not to be effective.
- Technology must be easy to access and implement in order to be used.
- Just-in-time support, assistance and encouragement must be provided for effective widespread use of technology.
- School administrators must be vested in the process of professional development in technology.
- Schools that use technology can better facilitate school-parent communication.
- The use of technology has helped promote learning among students of all ability levels, but especially among those with mild learning disorders


## Assistive Technology Needs Assessment

During the 2011-12 school year, Karen Henry and Becky Kowalczyk, AT Consultant/OTR from CESA facilitated a process to assess special education needs for assistive technology within the district. They met with teams of special education teachers from the elementary, middle school, and high school. Additionally, discussions with the district technology director and district library media specialist were integral parts to the assessment and plan. Students with disabilities were observed over the course of two days to determine needs and priorities. School teams followed up with meetings, researching options, attending workshops, submitting plans, and piloting tools. It was determined that technology needs would be addressed across a multi-year timeframe that will coordinate with the District Technology Plan and Wisconsin's Digital Learning Plan. The products and staff development priorities listed in the chart below focus on leveraging technology and professional collaboration to increase student engagement, independence, and outcomes.

2012-2015 Assistive Technology Plan

## Monitoring and Updating

The monitoring of the information and technology plan will be continuous and accomplished by the District Information and Technology Committee. Each spring the IT committee will evaluate and review progress towards the completion of each action step of the plan using data collected from district sources including parent surveys, curriculum committee feedback, and student assessment data from WKCE. Other devices used to monitor and evaluate progress may include resource usage reports, curriculum maps, collection maps, professional development evaluations, and inventories and purchases. Mid-course corrections may be implemented in response to new opportunities and developments.
In 2012, the results of the STNA process was used to help evaluate the previous plan's progress towards the goals and was used in developing the current Information and Technology plan. The committee will determine if the objectives have been attained based on the completion of the action plan steps.
Progress toward meeting goals will be reported in a variety of places to the stakeholders in the district. The chairperson of the IT committee will report to the administrative council, the school board, and the Altoona Educational Planning Council. Planning Council members and building administrators will then distribute information to all staff. Community members will be informed through normal district information avenues. The IT committee will also annually review the current plan to determine if changes to action plans, objectives, and goals are warranted based on current district needs indicated by curricular revisions, student assessment data, and financial information. Collecting data throughout this process will provide the IT committee with a clear picture of the district's information and technology needs for the next three-year planning cycle.

## Curriculum Alignment

A major component of this plan our district will focus on supporting staff as they implement the CCSS in ELA, Math and Science. Building staff capacity by providing them with a variety of professional development activities which are directly related to their needs, we expect student achievement to rise. Technology tools will play an important role in aligning our district's curriculum to the CCSS. The integration of ITL standards, based on the ISTE standards along with the CCSS will be an important link between 21st Century Learning skills and the curriculum.

The district plans to participate in projects which allow teachers to create and share their curriculums, lessons and assessments online. Two such tools, CCCC from CESA \#7 and Build Your Own Curriculum are currently under consideration.

## Policies

Technology Concerns for Students with Special Needs
CIPA/Internet SafetvIAcceptable Use Policy
Copyright (including copyright of digital formats)
Materials Selection \& Materials Reconsideration
Inter-library Loan \& Resource Sharing
Acceptable Use agreement for Staff/Employees
Student Use of Personal Electronics

|  | List of Goals | Comments (optional) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Goal 1 | Goal 1: Student Achievement: All students will experience a quality, <br> standards-based, technology-infused education that maximizes learning <br> and encourages connectivity, productivity and efficiency. | Student Achievement Focus |
| Goal 2 | Goal 2: Effective Teaching and Learning Practices: The staff will build <br> their capacity to effectively teach 21st Century skills to enhance student <br> learning. | Professional Development Focus |
| Goal 3 | Goal 3: Access to Information Resources and Learning Tools: All staff <br> and students will have access to the learning tools and information <br> resources necessary to search, evaluate, analyze, manage, manipulate, <br> communicate and construct information and knowledge in the teaching <br> and learning environment. | Hardware, Software, Information Resources Focus |
| Goal 4 | Goal 4: Support Systems and Leadership: District leaders will maintain or <br> improve the current level of communication by sharing with district <br> stakeholders the vision, goals and initiatives, as well as progress made <br> by the Information and Technology Program. | Communication Focus |
|  |  |  |

## Goal 1

Goal 1: Student Achievement: All students will experience a quality, stand maximizes learning and encourages connectivity, productivity and efficiency

Student Achievement Focus

| Objectives \& Action Steps | Who is responsible? | Timeline | Resources needed | Cost | Evaluation Method | Successful? | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Objective One: Unpack the ELA Common Core Standards to determine technology skills that are imbedded within them and where in the curriculum they will be taught |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Action Step 1:Staff will read and discuss the standards for their grade levels | ELA Curriculm Committee Members amd building level technology committee members, Curriculum Director | Summer <br> 2012 <br> through <br> School Year <br> 2015 | Time to meet | Sub pay | Curriculum committee minutes |  |  |
| Action Step 2:Staff will determine which technology skills students need to demonstrate at each grade level with a view of the K-12 | ELA Curriculm Committee Members amd building level technology committee members | Summer 2012 through School Year 2015 | Time to meet, CCSS, DPI ITL standards \& alignment to CCSS | Sub pay | Completed curriculum map |  |  |
| Action Step 3:Teachers will develop standards-based lessons that are technology-infused and encourage connectivity, productivity and efficiency | Teachers | Summer <br> 2012 <br> through <br> School Year <br> 2015 | Time to read, research and create lessons, curriculum writing tool such as Build your Own Curriculum | Sub pay, staff stipends, cost for curriulum tool | Sample lesson plans |  |  |
| Objective Two: Unpack the Math Common Core Standards to determine technology skills that are imbedded within them and where in the curriculum they will be taught |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Action Step 1:Staff will read and discuss the standards for their grade levels | Math Curriculm Committee Members amd building level technology committee members | Summer <br> 2012 <br> through <br> School Year <br> 2015 | Time to meet | Sub pay | Curriculum committee minutes |  |  |
| Action Step 2:Staff will determine which technology skills students need to demonstrate at each grade level | Math Curriculm Committee Members amd building level technology committee members | Summer <br> 2012 <br> through <br> School Year <br> 2015 | Time to meet, CCSS, DPI ITL standards \& alignment to CCSS | Sub pay | Completed curriculum map |  |  |
| Action Step 3:Teachers will develop standards-based lessons that are technology-infused and encourage connectivity, productivity and efficiency | Teachers | Summer <br> 2012 <br> through <br> School Year <br> 2015 | Time to read, research and create lessons, curriculum writing tool such as Build your Own Curriculum | Sub pay, staff stipends, cost for curriulum tool | Sample lesson plans |  |  |
| Action Step 3:Teachers will develope standards-based lessons that are technology-infused and encourage connectivity, productivity and efficiency | Teachers | Summer 2012 through School Year 2015 | Time to read, <br> research and create <br> lessons, curriculum <br> writing tool such as <br> Build your Own <br> Curriculum | Sub pay, staff stipends, cost for curriulum tool | Sample lesson plans |  |  |
| Objective Three: Unpack the Science Common Core Standards to determine technology skills that are imbedded within them and where in the curriculum they will be taught |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Action Step 1:Staff will read and discuss the standards for their grade levels | Science Curriculm Committee Members and building level technology committee members | School Year $2012-13$ through School Year 2015 | Time to meet | Sub pay | Curriculum committee minutes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Action Step 2:Staff will determine which technology skills students need to demonstrate at each grade level | Science Curriculm Committee Members and building level technology committee members | Summer <br> 2012 <br> through <br> School Year <br> 2015 | Time to meet, CCSS, DPI ITL standards \& alignment to CCSS | Sub pay | Completed curriculum map |  |  |
| Action Step 3:Teachers will develope standards-based lessons that are technology-infused and encourage connectivity, productivity and efficiency | Teachers | Summer <br> 2012 <br> through <br> School Year <br> 2015 | Time to read, research and create lessons, curriculum writing tool such as Build your Own Curriculum | Sub pay, staff stipends, cost for curriulum tool | Sample lesson plans |  |  |

Goal 2: Effective Teaching and Learning Practices: The staff will build their capacity to effectively teach 21st Century skills to enhance student learning.


## Goal 3

Goal 3. Access to Information Resources and Learning Tools: All staff and students will have access to the learning tools and information resources necessary to search, evaluate, analyze, knowledge in the teaching and learning environment.

Hardware, Software, Information Resources Focus

| Objectives \& Action Steps | Who is responsible? | Timeline | Resources needed | Cost | Evaluation Method | Successful? | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Objective One : Maintain library collection, including digtal resources, to meet changing instructional needs and curriculum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Action Step 1: Evaluate and weed LMC collections at each building with special emphasis on nonfiction | LMC Director | Continuous | Time, evaluation tool such as Follett Titlewave | Time | Increased circulation of nonfiction, up-to-date collection as evidenced from Titlewave evaluation |  |  |
| Action Step 2: Collaborate with the ELA department to select resources that support the new lessons developed to meet the CCSS | LMC Director, ELA teachers | Continuous | Time, CCSS, lists of recommended resources, i.e. ALA and CCBC | Time | Emails, minutes from meetings, lists of resources purchased |  |  |
| Action Step 3:Collaborate with the math \& science departments to select resources that help with new lessons developed to meet the CCSS | LMC Director, Sci \& Math teachers | Continuous | Time, CCSS, lists of recommended resources, i.e. ALA and CCBC | Time | Emails, minutes from meetings, lists of resources purchased |  |  |
| Objective Two: To encourage students to become independent learners, the school district leaders will investigate and evaluate interactive whiteboard technologies, tablet devices, BYOD policies and other new technologies that become available for instruction. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Action Step 1: Evaluate current IWB effectiveness | Teaching staff, Admin team, LMC Director, IT Department | Continuous | Staff time, assessment data | \$1,000/year | Gather information from conferences, workshops, professional journals, classroom experience, Action Research | Increased numbers of teachers using IWB in their lesson planning |  |
| Action Step 2: Increase awareness of new technologies by attending workshops/conferences and reading professional journals | Teaching staff, Admin team, LMC Director, IT Department | Continuous | Staff time, TIES Conference or similar conference attendance, Journals, BLOGS | \$5,000/year | List of conferences attended by staff members | Compare number of workshops attended to current year's number |  |
| Action Step 3: Evaluate tablet device use | Teaching staff, Admin team, LMC Director, IT Department | Continuous | Tablet devices and management system/hardware, staff time, CESA support, feedback and evaluation system from staff reguarding student use | \$500/year | Device checkout records, records of technology help requests, Lake Wobegon Atmosphere |  |  |
| Action step 4: Form an IT sub-committee to investigate eductational opportunities afforded by implementing and promoting a BYOD program and present findings to Administrative Council and school board | Teaching staff, Admin team, LMC Director, IT Department | $\begin{aligned} & \text { School } \\ & \text { years 2012- } \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | School policy revisions, Staff input, school visitations, conference attendance | \$3000 | Minutes from BYOD committee |  |  |
| Objective Three: Provide adequate maintenance and support for existing and new technology |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Action Step 1: Seek approval for addtional LMC Professional staff positions | Staff, community, | Continuous | Information <br> demostrating need <br> and importance, time <br> to share the <br> information | \$500 | Position added |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Action Step 2: Seek approval and funding for increasing computer technician postion to full time. | Staff, community, | Continuous | Information demostrating need and importance, time to share the information | \$500 | Position added |  |  |
| Objective Four: Review instructional needs for technology hardware and software |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Action Step 1: Develop a districtwide protocol for purchasing new technology hardware and software (Including Aps for tablet devicesand eBooks) for the district | IT Director, LMC Staff, Business Office | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept 2012- } \\ & \text { Sept } 2013 \end{aligned}$ | Time | \$500 | Protocol in place |  |  |
| Action Step 2: Evaluate current inventory to insure equitable distribution between buildings for effective \& equitable use of what is currently available | IT Director, LMC Staff, Building Tech Committees | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept 2012- } \\ & \text { Sept } 2013 \end{aligned}$ | Time | \$500 | Report of inventory |  |  |
| Action Step 3: Replace current phone system | Mark Scheppke | Summer 2012 | Time, Admin \& Board approval | \$60000 | Successful use of new phone system by staff |  |  |
| Action Step 4: Upgrade current network hardwire switching equipment | Mark Scheppke | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Summer } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | Time, Admin \& Board approval | \$120000 | Sucessful installation \& connectivity to CINC |  |  |
| Action Step 5: Installation of N -standard wireless infrastructure | Mark Scheppke | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Summer } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | Time | No cost | Successful installation and connectivity to CINC |  |  |


| Goal 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal 4: Support Systems and Leadership: District leaders will maintain or improve the current level of communication by sharing with district stakeholders the vision, goals and initiatives, as well as progress made by the Information and Technology Program. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Communication Focus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Objectives \& Action Steps | Who is responsible? | Timeline | Resources needed | Cost | Evaluation Method | Successful? | Comments |
| Objective One: Maintain and update communication tools as necessary for district productivity and dissemination of information to constituants. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Action Step 1: Continue current methods of communication such as the district website and Facebook pages | IT Committee, Technology Coordinator | Ongoing | Time, | Webpage interaction statistice, Facebook followers, email responses, Posts on questions page | Meeting minutes \& agendas |  |  |
| Action Step 2:Investigate additional ways to disseminate information to all stakeholders such as parents, School Board, Community members, students and staff | IT Committee, Technology Coordinator | Sept 2012 | Time at IT meeting | Time | Minutes from meetings |  |  |
| Action Step 3: Schedule 2012-2013 year meetings and publish | IT Committee, Technology Coordinator | Sept 2012 | Time at IT meeting |  |  |  |  |
| Action Step 4: Develop a system which informs staff of the Information and Technology resources available | IT Department, Administration, IT Committee | Ongoing | Time | \$300 | System to be completed by Spring of 2013 and updating as new resources are acquired |  |  |
| Objective Two: Annually review of IT Plan to assess current and emerging instructional trends and technology | IT Committee, LMC Personnel, Administration, Building Tech Committees | Annually | Time and staff coverage | \$300 | Minutes from meetings indicating evaluation of goals |  |  |
| Action Step 1:Schedule building IT meetings to collect information on current status of goals | LMC Director \& Technology Coordinator | Quarterly throughout the year | Time and staff coverage |  | Minutes from meetings indicating evaluation of goals |  |  |
| Action Step 2: Gather data from building IT meetings to share with district committee for discussion and revision of current IT Goals | IT Committee, LMC Personnel, Administration, Building IT Committees | Annually in the spring | Time and staff coverage |  | Minutes from meetings indicating evaluation of goals |  |  |


| Date of Purchase | Manufacturer | Server Model | Count | Replacement Year | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/1/2006 | Daktech | 3U Rack Server | 1 | 2013 | 3500 |
| 4/7/2009 | Daktech | 2U Rack Server | 4 |  | 14000 |
| 7/21/2009 | Daktech | 3U Rack Server | 1 |  | 3500 |
| 5/17/2011 | Daktech | 1 U Rack Server | 2 |  | 7000 |
| Date of Purchase | Manufacturer | Desktop Model | Count | Replacement Year | Cost |
| 6/1/2005 | Compaq | Evo 510 | 70 | School Year 2013 | 45500 |
| 6/27/2005 | Daktech | Voyager 2 | 60 | School Year 2013 | 39000 |
| 6/20/2006 | Daktech | Discovery 5 | 104 | School Year 2014 | 67600 |
| 8/20/2007 | Daktech | Discovery 5 | 34 | School Year 2015 | 22100 |
| 6/15/2008 | Daktech | Discovery 7 | 23 | School Year 2015 | 14950 |
| 11/10/2009 | Daktech | Discovery 8 | 16 | School Year 2015 | 10400 |
| 6/13/2010 | Daktech | Discovery 8 | 301 | School Year 2016- $17$ | 195650 |
| 4/18/2011 | Daktech | DP55WB | 13 | School Year 2016- $17$ | 8450 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total Desktops | 621 |  | 403650 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date of Purchase | Manufacturer | Laptop Model | Count | Replacement Year | Cost |
| 4/16/2007 | Dell | Inspiron E6400 | 5 |  | 3250 |
| 12/10/2007 | Dell | Vostro 1000 | 4 |  | 2600 |
| 6/12/2008 | Daktech | PlaidBook SR30 | 21 |  | 13650 |
| 11/17/2008 | Daktech | Plaidbook T30 | 5 |  | 3250 |
| 4/29/2009 | Acer | AspireOne | 9 |  | 5850 |
| 9/21/2009 | Acer | Aspire One D250 | 4 |  | 2600 |
| 2/3/2010 | Daktech | Plaidbook T30 | 2 |  | 1300 |
| 2/18/2010 | HP | HP Mini 5102 Netbook | 15 |  | 9750 |
| 10/13/2010 | Daktech | Plaidbook SP-15 | 4 |  | 2600 |
| 3/28/2011 | Daktech | Plaidbook SP-15 | 7 |  | 4550 |
| 6/8/2011 | HP | HP Mini 5103 Netbook | 30 |  | 19500 |
| 6/13/2011 | Apple | iPad 2 | 3 |  | 1950 |
| 8/30/2011 | Daktech | Plaidbook SP-15R | 6 |  | 3900 |
| 10/17/2011 | Dell | Inspiron | 4 |  | 2600 |
| 3/16/2012 | Lenovo | X120 E-350 | 6 |  | 3900 |
| 3/13/2012 | Dell | Latitude E5520 | 2 |  | 1300 |
| 5/1/2010 | Daktech | Plaidbook C30 | 5 |  | 3250 |


|  |  | Total Laptops | 132 |  | 85800 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date of Purchase | Manufacturer | Equipment Type | Count | Replacement Year | Cost |
| 2/29/2912 | Mimio | IWB | 9 | 2017 |  |
| 3/1/2012 | Cisco | Wireless Controller | 1 | 2020 |  |
| 3/1/2012 | Cisco | Wireless AP 1142N | 50 | 2020 |  |
| 2/20/2012 | Epson | PowerLite 450W | 11 | 2017 |  |
| 1/10/2011 | Smarttech Inc | SD680 Dual Touch | 8 | 2015 |  |
| 10/16/2008 | Epson | Ceiling Mount Projector | 7 | 2015 |  |
| TBA | Cisco |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manufacturer | Llcense | Purpose |  |  |  |
| Microsoft | Office 2007 | Productivity |  |  |  |
| Adobe | Acrobat 10 | Productivity |  |  |  |
| Adobe | Photoshop Elements | Productivity |  |  |  |
| CESA 6 | CMS4Schools | Website and content man. |  |  |  |
| Sophos | Endpoint Security | Anti-virus |  |  |  |
| Sophos | Web Security | Web Filtering |  |  |  |
|  | Microtype Pro 5 | Typing Tutorial |  |  |  |
| Google | Google Apps | Email, productivity |  |  |  |
| NWEA | MAPS Assessment | Student Assessment |  |  |  |
| Chief Architect | Chief Architect | CAD |  |  |  |
| Scholastic | Read 180, SRI | Reading Improvement and Assessment |  |  |  |
| Reniassnce Learning | Accelerated Reading | Reading Improvement and Assessment |  |  |  |
| CESA 6 | Curriculum4Schools | Curriculum Mapping and lesson planning |  |  |  |
| Skyward | School Management | Productivity and Reporting |  |  |  |
| Skyward | School Finance | Productivity and Reporting |  |  |  |

Technology Plan - Expenditures Estimates


| Accelerated Reader subscription (MS) | Goal 3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Google Archiving and Discovery subscription | Goal 3 |
| Read 180 subscription (MS) | Goal 3 |
| Pearson Data Solutions SIF subscription (District) | Goal 3 |
| Sophos Web Gateway subscription (District) | Goal 3 |
| Sophos Anti-Virus subscription (District) | Goal 3 |
| Deployment Solution subscription (District) | Goal 3 |
| CMS4School subscription | Goal 3 |
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# SCHOOL DISTRICT OF ALTOONA 

## TITLE: Coordinator of Talented and Gifted Students

JOB ANALYSIS: The K-12 Gifted and Talented Education Coordinator is responsible for developing, implementing, and continuously evaluating/improving an exemplary Gifted and Talented program. He or she shall develop and coordinate ongoing district-wide staff development programming in the areas of differentiation and Gifted and Talented education.

## REPORTS TO: District Administrator

COORDINATES WITH: Teaching staff, administration, curriculum director, school counselors, school psychologist, parents, volunteers, students

## PERFORMANCE RESPONSIBILITIES:

- Creates, revises, and updates a Gifted and Talented Education Program Plan based on latest trends and development in gifted education.
- Develops, implements, and communicates research-based procedures for identifying gifted and talented students.
- Establishes and promotes ongoing communication and collaboration with teaching staff, administration, counselors, school psychologist, and parents.
- Provides coordination and expertise to ensure systematic and continuous Pre-K to 12 programming and flexibility in curriculum planning for the exceptional needs of gifted students.
- Assists staff in developing specialized learning activities for students who have needs beyond differentiation in the classroom curriculum. Activities may include, but are not limited to cluster grouping, pull-out programs, cross-grade offerings, on-line programs, college courses, independent projects, internships, etc.
- Develops and implements an ongoing staff development plan related to differentiation and Gifted and Talented programming.
- Keeps abreast of most current trends and research in Gifted and Talented education. Ensures state statutes and district policy regarding Gifted education are being met. Attends appropriate meetings, conferences, conventions, etc.
- Collects, analyzes and evaluates student data. Develops and maintains process for student progress monitoring, program evaluation, and continuous improvement for gifted learners. Maintains a record of parental and staff communications.
- Assumes a leadership role in all activities associated with Gifted and Talented education.
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- Develops and coordinates public relations efforts, special projects, and events related to Gifted and Talented education.
- Develops and maintains a program budget. Budgets and manages expenditures necessary to Gifted and Talented programming.
- Performs other tasks and accepts other responsibilities as assigned.

EVALUATION: Performance of this job will be evaluated in accordance with the provisions of the Board's policy on evaluation of administrative staff.

TERMS OF EMPLOYMENT: 198 days; salary to be established by the Board.

## QUALIFICATIONS \& EDUCATIONAL REQUIREMENTS:

## Requirements:

1. Valid State of Wisconsin Teacher's license
2. Working toward Gifted and Talented Coordinator license or willing to pursue and acquire licensure within three years of hire
3. At least three years of successful classroom teaching experience
4. Outstanding interpersonal skills to deal courteously and effectively with students, parents, teachers, administrators, and the public

## Strongly preferred:

1. Experience working in or supervising a Gifted and Talented program
2. Experience working with children in the differentiated teaching environment

# School District of Altoona 

Food and Nutrition Department
1903 Bartlett Avenue • Altoona, Wisconsin 54720
715-839-6056•Fax 715-552-4482•pehrhard@altoona.k12.wi.us
http://www.altoona.k12.wi.us/foodservice Altoona is an equal opportunity provider
"On Track with Altoona Food Service"

May 21, 2012
TO: Altoona School Board
RE: Milk bid for 12-13 school year
Milk bids were sent out on March 29, 2012 to Kemps, Morning Glory and Indianhead
Foodservice. Bids were opened on May 3, 2012. Kemps and Morning Glory were the only bids. Both bids used an escalator clause and the price will go up and down monthly depending on the class I skim milk and butterfat as published by Central Milk Producers Cooperative in their monthly price announcement. Using this bid Morning Glory came in at $\$ 71138.15$ and Kemps at $\$ 75637.00$ with a difference of $\$ 4499.00$.
It is my recommendation that Morning Glory be awarded the bid for the school year 12-13.
Sincerely,


Peggy Ehrhard CDM CFPP
Foodservice Supervisor

# School District of Altoona 

 Food and Nutrition Department1903 Bartlett Avenue • Altoona, Wisconsin 54720
715-839-6056•Fax 715-552-4482•pehrhard@altoona.k12.wi.us
http://www.altoona.k12.wi.us/foodservice Altoona is an equal opportunity provider
"On Track with Altoona Food Service"

May 21, 2012
TO: Altoona School Board

## RE: Meal Prices

The passing of the Healthy Hunger-Free Kids Act on December 13, 2010 included "Lunch Paid Equity" USDA section 205 \& 206. This is a new rule on meal prices. All schools must have at least the federal reimbursement cost for free meals. Altoona will have to increase by $\$ 0.52$ for our lunch meals. The rule states that we do not have to make an increase of more than 10 cents per year.

Therefore please vote to increase the meal price for high school, middle school, elementary, and adult lunches by $\$ 0.10$. New prices will be:
High school \$2.15
Middle school \$2.15
Elementary $\$ 2.00$
Adult \$3.10

This will fulfill this year's price increase.
Sincerely,


Peggy Ehrhard
Foodservice Supervisor

# School District of Altoona 

Food and Nutrition Department
1903 Bartlett Avenue • Altoona, Wisconsin 54720
715-839-6056•Fax 715-552-4482• pehrhard@altoona.k12.wi.us
http://www.altoona.k12.wi.us/foodservice Altoona is an equal opportunity provider
"On Track with Altoona Food Service"

May 21, 2012
TO: School Board

## RE: Wisconsin School Day Milk Program

The WI School Day Milk Program is provided for K-4 $4^{\text {th }}$ grade. Parents currently pay 40 cents per carton of milk and free and reduced students receive the program for free. Over the past several years the amount received from the state for reimbursement for the free and reduced students has declined. The amount that the full price students pay has taken up the negative balance amount in past years. Last year however we were in the red by approximately \$1,000.00.
Lisa Boss did an on line survey with parents and I did a teacher survey. Results are attached. They confirm that both parents and teachers would like to keep the program. With the new regulations ala- carte money can not take up the shortfall. We have cut our labor in $1 / 2$ already for the second half of this year. Here are a few suggestions on how to take care of the shortfall.

1. raise the cost of milk by 5 cents per carton
2. have teaching staff pick up their own milk

Sincerely,


Peggy Ehrhard CDM CFPP
Foodservice Supervisor


May 15, 2012

To: Altoona School Board

Fr: Greg Fahrman, Superintendent
Scott Hayden, Activities Director

Re: Recommendation for Increased Ticket Prices

We are requesting that the ticket prices for the home sporting events be increased starting for the 2012 - 2013 school year as follows:

Adults:
Students (K through $12{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade):
5 and under:

Season passes - Adults:
Season passes - Students (K-12):
\$4 per game (\$1 increase)
\$2 per game (\$1 increase)
Free
\$50 (\$10 increase)
\$25 (\$10 increase)

Network Infrastructure Upgrade Project
Proposal to the Altoona School District Board 5/21/2012
By Mark Scheppke
The network infrastructure upgrade project consists of replacing the current 6-7 year old HewlettPackard network switches with Cisco switches. The typical life cycle for network equipment is about 68 years. In the past year, we have had to replace 3 of the switches because of failure. Other switches are showing signs of failure such as squealing fans and intermittent shutdowns.

As the school district becomes a partner in the CINC network, we have new wireless equipment that was awarded to us as part of a BTOP grant funded by ARRA dollars. More and more computers used in our schools are connecting to our network wirelessly. The new wireless equipment provides up to 10 times the speed of our current wireless equipment. To be able to take advantage of the higher wireless speeds the wired portion of the network needs to be upgraded. In addition to the new wireless equipment awarded to us, our connection to the Internet through the CINC network will be expanded from 40 mbps to 100 mbps with a reduction in cost.

Our Technology plan will begin to formalize a Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) program to help students meet their educational goals. As BYOD becomes more prevalent this network upgrade has the capacity to grow to meet the bandwidth demands.

Becoming a partner in the CINC network and using like network equipment, partners such as public safety departments may be able to access our network including our surveillance cameras to help manage emergency situations and use us as a hotspot making communication faster and more effective. Because many other CINC partners will be using the same type of equipment support is very available from UWEC, Mayo, Sacred Heart, City, County engineers that can help us save money by having others help us.

As the backbone of the entire technology program, having a fast and reliable network is essential for students and staff to get the most from the technology tools we provide. The proposal to replace the current infrastructure will provide a network that is faster, more reliable, and scalable for future growth.

Speaking of future growth, this network upgrade will provide the necessary infrastructure foundation for a replacement telephone switch. Our current telephone switch is aging most of the telephone handsets are 15 years old. We have had 2 voicemail failures in the past year causing significant interruption of the district's normal operation. As the telephone continues to age failures will become more frequent.

Both vendors providing quotes are state contract vendors for the equipment quoted. I recommend accepting the proposed quote from RMM Solutions for two reasons. First, the RMM Solution price is approximately $\$ 17,000$ lower. Secondly, RMM Solutions are based in Wausau and have technical support personnel in the Chippewa Valley which can reduce cost if support is needed in the future.

RMM Solutions Proposal \$127,640.25
CoreBTS Proposal \$144,599.20


09 May 2012 USD

RMM Solutions

Library Creation Date
Account Manager Email Address Office Number




Customer Service Rep:

Phone: $608-661-7726$$\quad$\begin{tabular}{l}
Account Manager: Madison House <br>
Fax:

$\quad$

Phone: 608-661-7700 <br>
E-mail: daniel.moyer@corebts.com

$\quad$

Fax: <br>
<br>
E-mail: nancy.pautsch@corebts.com
\end{tabular}

School District of Altoona
Accounts Payable
Altoona, WI 54720

## Ship To:

1903 Bartlett Avenue
Altoona, WI 54720

| Quote Number: | 000 Q 2642 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Customer Account \#:0011171 |  |
| Quote Date: | $05 / 14 / 2012$ |
| Payment Terms: | 25 |
| Customer: | School District of Altoona |
| Shipping Method: | BEST WAY |

Quote Number: 000Q2642
Customer Account \#:0011171
Quote Date: 05/14/2012

Shipping Method: BEST WAY

| Qty | Item Number | Description | Price | Ext Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MDF |  |  |  |  |
|  | WS-C2960S-24TD-L | Catalyst 2960 S 24 GigE, $2 \times 10 \mathrm{G}$ SFP+ LAN Base | \$2,652.05 | \$2,652.05 |
|  | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 1 | GLC-T= | 1000BASE-T SFP | \$233.05 | \$233.05 |
|  |  |  | SubTotal | \$2,885.10 |
|  | WS-C2960S-48FPD-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, $2 \times 10 \mathrm{G}$ SFP+ LAN Base | \$5,602.05 | \$5,602.05 |
|  | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50 cm stacking cable | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base | \$885.00 | \$885.00 |
|  | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | GLC-T= | 1000BASE-T SFP | \$233.05 | \$233.05 |
|  |  |  | SubTotal | \$6,720.10 |
|  | WS-C2960S-48FPS-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, $4 \times$ SFP LAN Base | \$4,422.05 | \$4,422.05 |
|  | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50 cm stacking cable | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base | \$885.00 | \$885.00 |
|  | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | SubTotal | \$5,307.05 |
|  | WS-C3750X-12S-S | Catalyst 3750X 12 Port GE SFP IP Base | \$5,900.00 | \$5,900.00 |
|  | C3KX-PWR-350WAC | Catalyst 3K-X 350W AC Power Supply | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | S375XVK9T-12258SE | CAT 3750X IOS UNIVERSAL WITH WEB BASE DEV MGR | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | C3KX-PWR-350WAC/2 | Catalyst 3K-X 350W AC Secondary Power Supply | \$295.00 | \$295.00 |
|  | CAB-3KX-AC | AC Power Cord for Catalyst 3K-X (North America) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | CAB-SPWR-30CM | Catalyst 3750X Stack Power Cable 30 CM | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | CAB-STACK-50CM | Cisco StackWise 50CM Stacking Cable | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | CON-SNT-C375X12S | SMARTNET 8X5XNBD Catalyst 3750X 12 Port GE SFP IP Base | \$816.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | GLC-SX-MM = | GE SFP, LC connector SX transceiver | \$295.00 | \$2,655.00 |
| 2 GLC-T= |  | 1000BASE-T SFP | \$233.05 | \$466.10 |
|  |  |  | SubTotal | \$9,316.10 |

## Closet B

| WS-C2960S-48FPD-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, $2 \times 10 \mathrm{G}$ SFP+ LAN Base | \$5,602.05 | \$5,602.05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50cm stacking cable | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base | \$885.00 | \$885.00 |
| CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| GLC-SX-MM $=$ | GE SFP, LC connector SX transceiver | \$295.00 | \$295.00 |
|  |  | SubTotal | \$6,782.05 |
| WS-C2960S-48FPS-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, $4 \times$ SFP LAN Base | \$4,422.05 | \$4,422.05 |
| CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50 cm stacking cable | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base | \$885.00 | \$885.00 |


| CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $\$ 0.00$ |
| $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 3 0 7 . 0 5}$ |  |

## Closet C

| 1 | WS-C2960S-48FPD-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE $740 \mathrm{~W}, 2 \times 10 \mathrm{G}$ SFP+ LAN Base |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50 cm stacking cable |
| 1 | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base |
| 1 | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG |
| 1 | GLC-SX-MM $=$ | GE SFP, LC connector SX transceiver |
|  |  |  |
| 2 | WS-C2960S-48FPS-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, 4 x SFP LAN Base |
| 2 | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50cm stacking cable |
| 2 | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base |
| 2 | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG |

## Closet I

| 1 | WS-C2960S-24PS-L | Catalyst 2960S 24 GigE PoE $370 \mathrm{~W}, 4 \times$ SFP LAN Base |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50 cm stacking cable |
| 1 | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960 S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base |
| 1 | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | WS-C2960S-48FPD-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE $740 \mathrm{~W}, 2 \times 10 \mathrm{G}$ SFP+ LAN Base |
| 1 | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50cm stacking cable |
| 1 | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base |
| 1 | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG |
| 1 | GLC-SX-MM $=$ | GE SFP, LC connector SX transceiver |

## Closet D

| 1 | WS-C2960S-48FPD-L |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | CAB-STK-E-0.5M |
| 1 | C2960S-STACK |
| 1 | CAB-16AWG-AC |
| 1 | GLC-SX-MM $=$ |

WS-C2960S-48FPS-L
CAB-STK-E-0.5M
C2960S-STACK
CAB-16AWG-AC
Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, $2 \times 10 \mathrm{G}$ SFP+ LAN Base
Cisco FlexStack 50 cm stacking cable
Catalyst 2960 S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base
AC Power cord, 16AWG
GE SFP, LC connector SX transceiver
Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE $740 \mathrm{~W}, 4 \times$ SFP LAN Base
Cisco FlexStack 50 cm stacking cable
Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base
AC Power cord, 16AWG

| $\$ 5,602.05$ | $\$ 5,602.05$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| $\$ 885.00$ | $\$ 885.00$ |
| $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| $\$ 295.00$ | $\$ 295.00$ |
| SubTotal | $\$ 6,782.05$ |
| $\$ 4,422.05$ | $\$ 4,422.05$ |
| $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| $\$ 885.00$ | $\$ 885.00$ |
| $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| SubTotal | $\$ 5,307.05$ |


| Catalyst 2960S 24 GigE PoE 370W, $4 \times$ SFP LAN Base | $\$ 2,357.05$ | $\$ 2,357.05$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Cisco FlexStack 50cm stacking cable | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base | $\$ 885.00$ | $\$ 885.00$ |
| AC Power cord, 16AWG | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
|  | SubTotal | $\$ \mathbf{3 , 2 4 2 . 0 5}$ |
| Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, $2 \times 10 G ~ S F P+~ L A N ~ B a s e ~$ | $\$ 5,602.05$ | $\$ 5,602.05$ |
| Cisco FlexStack 50cm stacking cable | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base | $\$ 885.00$ | $\$ 885.00$ |
| AC Power cord, 16AWG | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| GE SFP, LC connector SX transceiver | $\$ 295.00$ | $\$ 295.00$ |
|  | $\mathbf{S u b T o t a l}$ | $\$ 6,782.05$ |
| Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, $4 \times$ SFP LAN Base | $\$ 4,422.05$ | $\$ 4,422.05$ |


| 1 | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50 cm stacking cable | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base | \$885.00 | \$885.00 |
| 1 | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | SubTotal | \$5,307.05 |

## Closet F

| 1 | WS-C2960S-48FPD-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE $740 \mathrm{~W}, 2 \times 10 \mathrm{G}$ SFP+ LAN Base |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50cm stacking cable |
| 1 | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base |
| 1 | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG |
| 1 | GLC-SX-MM $=$ | GE SFP, LC connector SX transceiver |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | WS-C2960S-48FPS-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, 4 x SFP LAN Base |
| 1 | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50cm stacking cable |
| 1 | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base |
| 1 | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG |

## Closet G

WS-C2960S-48FPS-L
CAB-STK-E-0.5M
C2960S-STACK
CAB-16AWG-AC
GLC-SX-MM $=$
Catalyst 2960 S 48 GigE PoE $740 \mathrm{~W}, 4 \times$ SFP LAN Base
Cisco FlexStack 50 cm stacking cable
Catalyst 2960 S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base
AC Power cord, 16AWG
GE SFP, LC connector SX transceiver

| $\$ 4,422.05$ | $\$ 4,422.05$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| $\$ 885.00$ | $\$ 885.00$ |
| $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| $\$ 295.00$ | $\$ 295.00$ |
| SubTotal | $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 6 0 2 . 0 5}$ |


| Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, $2 \times 10 G$ SFP+ LAN Base | $\$ 5,602.05$ | $\$ 5,602.05$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Cisco FlexStack 50cm stacking cable | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base | $\$ 885.00$ | $\$ 885.00$ |
| AC Power cord, 16AWG | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| GE SFP, LC connector SX transceiver | $\$ 295.00$ | $\$ 295.00$ |
|  | SubTotal | $\$ 6,782.05$ |
| Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, 4 x SFP LAN Base | $\$ 4,422.05$ | $\$ 4,422.05$ |
| Cisco FlexStack 50cm stacking cable | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base | $\$ 885.00$ | $\$ 885.00$ |
| AC Power cord, 16AWG | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
|  | $\mathbf{S u b T o t a l}$ | $\$ 5,307.05$ |
| Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, 4 x SFP LAN Base | $\$ 4,422.05$ | $\$ 4,422.05$ |
| Cisco FlexStack 50cm stacking cable | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base | $\$ 885.00$ | $\$ 885.00$ |
| AC Power cord, 16AWG | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Closet J

| 1 | WS-C2960S-48FPD-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE $740 \mathrm{~W}, 2 \times 10 \mathrm{G}$ SFP+ LAN Base |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50 cm stacking cable |
| 1 | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base |
| 1 | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG |
| 1 | GLC-SX-MM $=$ | GE SFP, LC connector SX transceiver |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | WS-C2960S-48FPS-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, $4 \times$ SFP LAN Base |
| 1 | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50cm stacking cable |
| 1 | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base |
| 1 | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG |


| Qty | Item Number | Description | Price | Ext Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | WS-C2960S-48FPS-L | Catalyst 2960S 48 GigE PoE 740W, $4 \times$ SFP LAN Base | \$4,422.05 | \$4,422.05 |
| 1 | CAB-STK-E-0.5M | Cisco FlexStack 50 cm stacking cable | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 1 | C2960S-STACK | Catalyst 2960S FlexStack Stack Module optional for LAN Base | \$885.00 | \$885.00 |
| 1 | CAB-16AWG-AC | AC Power cord, 16AWG | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | SubTotal | \$5,307.05 |
| 1 | INSTALL | INSTALL SERVICES | \$18,000.00 | \$18,000.00 |
|  |  |  | SubTotal | \$18,000.00 |
| 1 | DISCOUNT | Core BTS/Cisco Discount | -\$17,042.20 | -\$17,042.20 |
| 1 | NOFGHT | NO FREIGHT CHARGE TO CLIENT |  |  |
| 1 | WI-CISCO-CONTRACT | CONTRACT\# 15-20664-01 |  |  |

Quote Subtotal:
Sales Tax:
Quote Total:
\$144,599.20
$\$ 0.00$
\$144,599.20
$\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$

This proposal is confidential, and shall not be used or disclosed, in whole or in part, for any purpose other than evaluation within the client organization. All product and pricing information is based on the latest information available and is subject to change without notice. All prices are in U.S. dollars. Prices and tax rates are valid in the U.S. only and are subject to change. Sales tax is based on the "ship to" address on your purchase order. Please indicate your taxability status on your purchase order. Product availability is subject to change and cannot be guaranteed. All shipments are FOB origin. Appropriate freight charges will be added at the time of invoice.

Core BTS, Inc.
3001 W. Beltline Hwy Madison, WI 53713
www.corebts.com

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Name: | School District of Altoona |  |  |  |
| DPI District \#: | 0112 |  |  |  |
| Contact Name: | Mark | Scheppke | mscheppke@altoona.k12.wi.us |  |
| Lib/Media Contact: (if different than above) | Roberta | Kuchta | bkuchta@altoona.k12.wi.us |  |
| Tech Contact: <br> (if different than above) | Mark | Scheppke | mscheppke@altoona.k12.wi.us |  |
| District Administrator | Greg | Fahrman | gfahrman@altoona.k12.wi.us |  |
| Creation Date: (for E-rate only) | 11/1/2012 | This is a required element for E-Rate priority 2 funding. This is the date that all E-rate required elements are in your plan. This date must be before you file your E-Rate form 470. See; http://www.usac. org/sl/applicants/step02/technology-planning/default.aspx) |  |  |
| Board Approval: |  |  |  |  |
| CESA or Other Approval: (optional) | 5/22/2012 | CESA \#10 | Neil Johnson | njohnson@cesa10. k12.wi.us |
| DPI approval: | <date> | <name> | TechPlan@dpi.wi.gov |  |
| Technology Coordinator | Mark | Scheppke | School District of Altoona |  |
| District LMC Director | Roberta | Kuchta | School District of Altoona |  |
| MS Principal | Jack | Wagener | School District of Altoona |  |
| Pupil Services Director | Karen | Henry | School District of Altoona |  |
| HS Principal | Jeff | Pepowski | School District of Altoona |  |
| ES Principal | Chelsea | Bellville | School District of Altoona |  |
| Technology Aide | Beth | Revello | School District of Altoona |  |
| HS Teacher | Lisa | Skifstad | School District of Altoona |  |
| ES Teacher | Shelly | Pierson | School District of Altoona |  |
| ES Teacher | Ryan | Wundrow | School District of Altoona |  |
| MS Teacher | Jill | Phippen | School District of Altoona |  |
| MS Teacher | Kim | Wardean | School District of Altoona |  |
| HS Teacher | Judy | DeShong | School District of Altoona |  |
| ES Teacher | Amanda | Miller | School District of Altoona |  |
| School Board Member | Robin | Elvig | School District of Altoona |  |
| Assistive Technology Consultant | Becky | Kowalcyk | CESA 10 |  |
| Parent/Community Member |  |  |  |  |

## Introduction and Purpose

The Information and Technology Plan of the School District of Altoona is the result of a process that combines the library and technology plans into one document. Throughout this plan the Library/Media and Technology programs are referred to as Information and Technology programs. This is a recognition that a primary purpose of both programs is to provide students with the skills and tools required to use information and technology tools in the 21st century. As a result of the planning process, the district Information and Technology Committee will be called the IT committee. The primary focus of the combined plan is to increase student achievement through utilizing information and technology resources in research-supported ways. The plan seeks to fulfill the district's mission to "build a foundation for life-long learning and the emotional well-being of our students."

The process for developing the plan involved gathering and analyzing information from a wide range of sources and evaluating the previous plan. This information was then used as a basis for establishing the plan's goals, objectives and action plans to better address the needs of the school community. Central to the implementation of the 2012-2015 plan are efforts to integrate information and technology literacy within the curriculum, taking into consideration the new Common Core State Standards in English Language Arts, Math and Science. This plan includes goals to communicate to the community the effectiveness of using information and technology resources for learning. Improving or at a minimum, maintaining the current level of services provided by the library media and technology staffs is crucial to fulfilling the goals and objectives expressed in this plan.

The plan's goals, objectives and program information will be disseminated to the community through district newsletters and postings on the district web site. News releases will be provided to various media outlets, such as newspapers and television stations, as educational activities incorporating information and technology occur within the schools.

The planning committee conducted a literature review of research on the use of information and technology in schools and the classroom, specifically its relationship to student academic achievement. This information was shared via literature discussions to prepare for the creation of the School District of Altoona's Information and Technology Plan.

The School District of Altoona's Information and Technology Plan is the foundation for the application of library/media and technology services. This plan is designed to assist students, teachers, administrators, parents and community members by supporting the vision and mission of the school district.

These beliefs have led to an information and technology vision which states that the School District of Altoona will use information and technology services to improve student achievement by developing a set of skills that will help students survive and thrive in the 21st century. To accomplish this vision, the information and technology mission is to stimulate student learning by providing access to current information and technologies by integrating information and technology literacy across the curriculum. Recognizing the importance of parental and community involvement in the
education of all students, technology and information literacy resources will be shared with the community.

## Community/School District Demographics

Altoona is a community of approximately 7,000 residents, which is located adjacent to Eau Claire, WI. Although sometimes considered a "bedroom community", over two hundred small businesses call Altoona home. It has a diverse economic base including office, light industrial, and small business entrepreneurs.

The district serves approximately 1,550 students. The community takes pride in its educational system and has demonstrated that pride through strong support for academic and extra curricular endeavors.

The district has one early childhood special education center, a community-based 4-K program, one K-4 elementary school, one 5-8 middle school and one $9-12$ high school. The K-12 facilities are connected via enclosed walkways. The school population continues to become more ethnically, socially and economically diverse. The student population includes 12 percent EEN classified students and 39 percent who qualify for the free and reduced lunch programs.

## Needs Assessment

The goals and objectives for this plan were derived from a variety of sources. Following discussions with building Information Technology Committee members and reading current literature, we developed Information Technology Plan goals. We also looked at the data provided us through the STNA process and our district's WINNS data.

Our 2009-2012 IT goals and objectives that related to infrastructure, end-user devices, bandwidth, and wireless capabilities have been updated to reflect the need to increase our district's capacity. In our move toward the utilization of a greater number of wireless devices (BYOD initiatives included) it elevates the importance of reaching goals which move us toward increased bandwidth capabilities.

The information from our needs assessment STNA, taken in January, 2012, indicates that staff were very concerned of the following three areas: staff development, budgetary levels, and IT staffing levels.

The survey indicated that teachers were hungry for advanced staff development opportunities. Teachers articulated that they would benefit from professional development in the following areas:

- Identification, location, and evaluation of technology resources such as websites, that can be used with students.

■ Performance-based student assessment of students.
■ The use of technology to collect and analyze student assessment data.

- Learner-centered teaching strategies that incorporate technology, like project-based or cooperative learning.
- Online security and safety.
- The use of technology for differentiating instruction for students with special learning needs.
- Use of data for reflecting on professional practices.
- Alignment of lesson plans to content standards and student technology standards.

Currently, teachers have the opportunity to participate in many in-service options. With this new information, the district will provide targeted professional development to build staff capacity in the areas specified above. It is the intention of the district to continue using CESA 10's staff development services to help in this area. Effective modeling of technology use by administrators and recognition of innovative teachers can help to further the use of technology to enhance student achievement.

The district is now participating in the MAPS assessment program which should give teacher data regarding the progress of their classes toward reaching course goals. This data should also provide
information about individual students' strengths and weaknesses so they can target instruction for each student.

Another area of concern to staff is a lack of a sufficient budget for information and technology resources. Teachers' respones to the STNA survey indicated that students do not have sufficient computer hardware available for use. Teachers indicate that the infrastructure for accessing online resources, commincating internally and with families/community is currently meeting expectations. However, the rapid expansion of the use of wireless devices and online resources such as Discovery Education (video on demand) Google Apps for Education, YouTube, TeacherTube, grading, attendance, Student Access (Skyward) and many others will cause the fidelity of the network to quickly denegrate. To prepare for the expanding influence of student owned devices in the learning process as well as the increased use of web-based media, the planning and implementation of a next-generation network is imperative.

Thirdly, teachers indicated staffing levels as a major concern. Many teachers believe that they did not have ready access to technical support or to a technology assistant to troubleshoot hardware and software problems as they needed it. Also, nearly ninety percent of survey respondents felt that school libraries are inadequately staffed. Research clearly shows that students reach higher levels of achievement when school libraries are staffed by well trained professional library media personnel.
Compared to Wisconsin schools of similar socio-econmoic status, Altoona had double or greater the percentage of students scoring in the Minimum Proficient and Basic categories in the areas of Reading, Science and Math on the WKCE. This revelation indicates a need to improve teaching and learning in all of these areas. Even when compared to schools in CESA 10, we have room for improvement.

## Analysis/Summary of Relevant Research/Best Practices

The research examined by the planning committee points to the following:

From 1998 to the present, over 15 states (including Wisconsin, lowa, Minnesota and Michigan) have undertaken studies to determine the impact of school library media centers on student academic achievement.

Synopsis:

There is a clear and consistent finding that is supported by this research: a school library media program, with a fulltime library media specialist, support staff, and a strong computer network (one that connects the library's resources to classrooms and labs) leads to higher student achievement, regardless of social and economic factors in a community. Other clear findings supported by research are that there is a need for adequate training and support in order for technology to be used appropriately; it must be integrated into the curriculum; use of it must be directed toward higher order thinking skills; and it is an appropriate avenue for improving communication among a school's stakeholders.

Information (Library Media) \& Technology research have the following points in common:

- Schools with full-time certified library media specialists and full-time library aides have higher performance on the WKCE.
- Schools where the library media specialist spends more time on instructionally-related student and teacher activities have higher WKCE scores.
- Schools with greater library media program resources for collections and technology have higher performance on the WKCE.
- Library media specialists help students acquire unique skills not taught in the classroom and information and technology skills essential for students in the 21st century.'
- School libraries provide an equalized educational opportunity for all students.
- Principal support for the Library Media program and collaboration between classroom teachers and the media program is associated with higher academic achievement.
- Information technology that extends the reach of the Library Media program into the school's classrooms is associated with higher student achievement.
- Higher academic achievement is demonstrated where LMCs have a quality collection of materials which supports the curriculum.
- An adequate budget, required to support the LM program, is necessary for higher student achievement.
- LMC staff activities relating to leadership, collaboration and technology use are predictors of student academic achievement.
- Higher academic achievement is demonstrated where state of the art technology is integrated into the information seeking/teaching/learning process.
- Higher academic achievement is demonstrated where there is cooperation between Library Media Centers and public libraries (inter-library loan).
- Academic achievement of $\mathrm{K}-12$ students is higher where the Library Media specialist is a part of the planning/teaching team and works with students in a flexible schedule program.
- Media literacy training can result in young people becoming less vulnerable to the negative aspects of media exposure and more able to make good choices about how they use their time.
- Educational returns require that technology be viewed as providing tools to meet central educational goals, not as defining a new separate set of goals.
- Schools must invest in ongoing professional development, training and support services, not just in technology alone. Training teachers to integrate technology into curriculum is critical in successfully implementing technology in schools.
- The extent to which teachers are trained to use technology to support learning plays a role in determining whether technology has a positive impact on achievement.
- Access to the Internet and other resources is needed in order for students to benefit from technology.
- Educational technology plays a role in improving learning through instructional practice only when:

41 educators use a variety of models of curriculum design and learning strategies supported by technology.
51 educators support new, collaborative, professional practices.
61 administrators take an active role in the professional development of all staff.

- Adequate financial and staff support is essential if teachers are to use technology appropriately to promote learning for students in the classroom.
- Professional development activities should enhance teachers' curriculum, learning and assessment competencies and skill as well as classroom and instructional management competencies.
- Technology has the greatest impact when integrated into the curriculum to achieve clear measurable educational objectives.
- Higher order uses of computers are positively related to academic achievement, whereas drill and practice technology has proven not to be effective.
- Technology must be easy to access and implement in order to be used.
- Just-in-time support, assistance and encouragement must be provided for effective widespread use of technology.
- School administrators must be vested in the process of professional development in technology.
- Schools that use technology can better facilitate school-parent communication.
- The use of technology has helped promote learning among students of all ability levels, but especially among those with mild learning disorders


## Assistive Technology Needs Assessment

During the 2011-12 school year, Karen Henry and Becky Kowalczyk, AT Consultant/OTR from CESA facilitated a process to assess special education needs for assistive technology within the district. They met with teams of special education teachers from the elementary, middle school, and high school. Additionally, discussions with the district technology director and district library media specialist were integral parts to the assessment and plan. Students with disabilities were observed over the course of two days to determine needs and priorities. School teams followed up with meetings, researching options, attending workshops, submitting plans, and piloting tools. It was determined that technology needs would be addressed across a multi-year timeframe that will coordinate with the District Technology Plan and Wisconsin's Digital Learning Plan. The products and staff development priorities listed in the chart below focus on leveraging technology and professional collaboration to increase student engagement, independence, and outcomes.

2012-2015 Assistive Technology Plan

## Monitoring and Updating

The monitoring of the information and technology plan will be continuous and accomplished by the District Information and Technology Committee. Each spring the IT committee will evaluate and review progress towards the completion of each action step of the plan using data collected from district sources including parent surveys, curriculum committee feedback, and student assessment data from WKCE. Other devices used to monitor and evaluate progress may include resource usage reports, curriculum maps, collection maps, professional development evaluations, and inventories and purchases. Mid-course corrections may be implemented in response to new opportunities and developments.
In 2012, the results of the STNA process was used to help evaluate the previous plan's progress towards the goals and was used in developing the current Information and Technology plan. The committee will determine if the objectives have been attained based on the completion of the action plan steps.
Progress toward meeting goals will be reported in a variety of places to the stakeholders in the district. The chairperson of the IT committee will report to the administrative council, the school board, and the Altoona Educational Planning Council. Planning Council members and building administrators will then distribute information to all staff. Community members will be informed through normal district information avenues. The IT committee will also annually review the current plan to determine if changes to action plans, objectives, and goals are warranted based on current district needs indicated by curricular revisions, student assessment data, and financial information. Collecting data throughout this process will provide the IT committee with a clear picture of the district's information and technology needs for the next three-year planning cycle.

## Curriculum Alignment

A major component of this plan our district will focus on supporting staff as they implement the CCSS in ELA, Math and Science. Building staff capacity by providing them with a variety of professional development activities which are directly related to their needs, we expect student achievement to rise. Technology tools will play an important role in aligning our district's curriculum to the CCSS. The integration of ITL standards, based on the ISTE standards along with the CCSS will be an important link between 21st Century Learning skills and the curriculum.

The district plans to participate in projects which allow teachers to create and share their curriculums, lessons and assessments online. Two such tools, CCCC from CESA \#7 and Build Your Own Curriculum are currently under consideration.

## Policies

Technology Concerns for Students with Special Needs
CIPA/Internet SafetvIAcceptable Use Policy
Copyright (including copyright of digital formats)
Materials Selection \& Materials Reconsideration
Inter-library Loan \& Resource Sharing
Acceptable Use agreement for Staff/Employees
Student Use of Personal Electronics

|  | List of Goals | Comments (optional) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Goal 1 | Goal 1: Student Achievement: All students will experience a quality, <br> standards-based, technology-infused education that maximizes learning <br> and encourages connectivity, productivity and efficiency. | Student Achievement Focus |
| Goal 2 | Goal 2: Effective Teaching and Learning Practices: The staff will build <br> their capacity to effectively teach 21st Century skills to enhance student <br> learning. | Professional Development Focus |
| Goal 3 | Goal 3: Access to Information Resources and Learning Tools: All staff <br> and students will have access to the learning tools and information <br> resources necessary to search, evaluate, analyze, manage, manipulate, <br> communicate and construct information and knowledge in the teaching <br> and learning environment. | Hardware, Software, Information Resources Focus |
| Goal 4 | Goal 4: Support Systems and Leadership: District leaders will maintain or <br> improve the current level of communication by sharing with district <br> stakeholders the vision, goals and initiatives, as well as progress made <br> by the Information and Technology Program. | Communication Focus |
|  |  |  |

## Goal 1

Goal 1: Student Achievement: All students will experience a quality, stand maximizes learning and encourages connectivity, productivity and efficiency

Student Achievement Focus

| Objectives \& Action Steps | Who is responsible? | Timeline | Resources needed | Cost | Evaluation Method | Successful? | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Objective One: Unpack the ELA Common Core Standards to determine technology skills that are imbedded within them and where in the curriculum they will be taught |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Action Step 1:Staff will read and discuss the standards for their grade levels | ELA Curriculm Committee Members amd building level technology committee members, Curriculum Director | Summer <br> 2012 <br> through <br> School Year <br> 2015 | Time to meet | Sub pay | Curriculum committee minutes |  |  |
| Action Step 2:Staff will determine which technology skills students need to demonstrate at each grade level with a view of the K-12 | ELA Curriculm Committee Members amd building level technology committee members | Summer 2012 through School Year 2015 | Time to meet, CCSS, DPI ITL standards \& alignment to CCSS | Sub pay | Completed curriculum map |  |  |
| Action Step 3:Teachers will develop standards-based lessons that are technology-infused and encourage connectivity, productivity and efficiency | Teachers | Summer <br> 2012 <br> through <br> School Year <br> 2015 | Time to read, research and create lessons, curriculum writing tool such as Build your Own Curriculum | Sub pay, staff stipends, cost for curriulum tool | Sample lesson plans |  |  |
| Objective Two: Unpack the Math Common Core Standards to determine technology skills that are imbedded within them and where in the curriculum they will be taught |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Action Step 1:Staff will read and discuss the standards for their grade levels | Math Curriculm Committee Members amd building level technology committee members | Summer <br> 2012 <br> through <br> School Year <br> 2015 | Time to meet | Sub pay | Curriculum committee minutes |  |  |
| Action Step 2:Staff will determine which technology skills students need to demonstrate at each grade level | Math Curriculm Committee Members amd building level technology committee members | Summer <br> 2012 <br> through <br> School Year <br> 2015 | Time to meet, CCSS, DPI ITL standards \& alignment to CCSS | Sub pay | Completed curriculum map |  |  |
| Action Step 3:Teachers will develop standards-based lessons that are technology-infused and encourage connectivity, productivity and efficiency | Teachers | Summer <br> 2012 <br> through <br> School Year <br> 2015 | Time to read, research and create lessons, curriculum writing tool such as Build your Own Curriculum | Sub pay, staff stipends, cost for curriulum tool | Sample lesson plans |  |  |
| Action Step 3:Teachers will develope standards-based lessons that are technology-infused and encourage connectivity, productivity and efficiency | Teachers | Summer 2012 through School Year 2015 | Time to read, <br> research and create <br> lessons, curriculum <br> writing tool such as <br> Build your Own <br> Curriculum | Sub pay, staff stipends, cost for curriulum tool | Sample lesson plans |  |  |
| Objective Three: Unpack the Science Common Core Standards to determine technology skills that are imbedded within them and where in the curriculum they will be taught |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Action Step 1:Staff will read and discuss the standards for their grade levels | Science Curriculm Committee Members and building level technology committee members | School Year $2012-13$ through School Year 2015 | Time to meet | Sub pay | Curriculum committee minutes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Action Step 2:Staff will determine which technology skills students need to demonstrate at each grade level | Science Curriculm Committee Members and building level technology committee members | Summer <br> 2012 <br> through <br> School Year <br> 2015 | Time to meet, CCSS, DPI ITL standards \& alignment to CCSS | Sub pay | Completed curriculum map |  |  |
| Action Step 3:Teachers will develope standards-based lessons that are technology-infused and encourage connectivity, productivity and efficiency | Teachers | Summer <br> 2012 <br> through <br> School Year <br> 2015 | Time to read, research and create lessons, curriculum writing tool such as Build your Own Curriculum | Sub pay, staff stipends, cost for curriulum tool | Sample lesson plans |  |  |

Goal 2: Effective Teaching and Learning Practices: The staff will build their capacity to effectively teach 21st Century skills to enhance student learning.


## Goal 3

Goal 3. Access to Information Resources and Learning Tools: All staff and students will have access to the learning tools and information resources necessary to search, evaluate, analyze, knowledge in the teaching and learning environment.

Hardware, Software, Information Resources Focus

| Objectives \& Action Steps | Who is responsible? | Timeline | Resources needed | Cost | Evaluation Method | Successful? | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Objective One : Maintain library collection, including digtal resources, to meet changing instructional needs and curriculum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Action Step 1: Evaluate and weed LMC collections at each building with special emphasis on nonfiction | LMC Director | Continuous | Time, evaluation tool such as Follett Titlewave | Time | Increased circulation of nonfiction, up-to-date collection as evidenced from Titlewave evaluation |  |  |
| Action Step 2: Collaborate with the ELA department to select resources that support the new lessons developed to meet the CCSS | LMC Director, ELA teachers | Continuous | Time, CCSS, lists of recommended resources, i.e. ALA and CCBC | Time | Emails, minutes from meetings, lists of resources purchased |  |  |
| Action Step 3:Collaborate with the math \& science departments to select resources that help with new lessons developed to meet the CCSS | LMC Director, Sci \& Math teachers | Continuous | Time, CCSS, lists of recommended resources, i.e. ALA and CCBC | Time | Emails, minutes from meetings, lists of resources purchased |  |  |
| Objective Two: To encourage students to become independent learners, the school district leaders will investigate and evaluate interactive whiteboard technologies, tablet devices, BYOD policies and other new technologies that become available for instruction. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Action Step 1: Evaluate current IWB effectiveness | Teaching staff, Admin team, LMC Director, IT Department | Continuous | Staff time, assessment data | \$1,000/year | Gather information from conferences, workshops, professional journals, classroom experience, Action Research | Increased numbers of teachers using IWB in their lesson planning |  |
| Action Step 2: Increase awareness of new technologies by attending workshops/conferences and reading professional journals | Teaching staff, Admin team, LMC Director, IT Department | Continuous | Staff time, TIES Conference or similar conference attendance, Journals, BLOGS | \$5,000/year | List of conferences attended by staff members | Compare number of workshops attended to current year's number |  |
| Action Step 3: Evaluate tablet device use | Teaching staff, Admin team, LMC Director, IT Department | Continuous | Tablet devices and management system/hardware, staff time, CESA support, feedback and evaluation system from staff reguarding student use | \$500/year | Device checkout records, records of technology help requests, Lake Wobegon Atmosphere |  |  |
| Action step 4: Form an IT sub-committee to investigate eductational opportunities afforded by implementing and promoting a BYOD program and present findings to Administrative Council and school board | Teaching staff, Admin team, LMC Director, IT Department | $\begin{aligned} & \text { School } \\ & \text { years 2012- } \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | School policy revisions, Staff input, school visitations, conference attendance | \$3000 | Minutes from BYOD committee |  |  |
| Objective Three: Provide adequate maintenance and support for existing and new technology |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Action Step 1: Seek approval for addtional LMC Professional staff positions | Staff, community, | Continuous | Information <br> demostrating need <br> and importance, time <br> to share the <br> information | \$500 | Position added |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Action Step 2: Seek approval and funding for increasing computer technician postion to full time. | Staff, community, | Continuous | Information demostrating need and importance, time to share the information | \$500 | Position added |  |  |
| Objective Four: Review instructional needs for technology hardware and software |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Action Step 1: Develop a districtwide protocol for purchasing new technology hardware and software (Including Aps for tablet devicesand eBooks) for the district | IT Director, LMC Staff, Business Office | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept 2012- } \\ & \text { Sept } 2013 \end{aligned}$ | Time | \$500 | Protocol in place |  |  |
| Action Step 2: Evaluate current inventory to insure equitable distribution between buildings for effective \& equitable use of what is currently available | IT Director, LMC Staff, Building Tech Committees | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept 2012- } \\ & \text { Sept } 2013 \end{aligned}$ | Time | \$500 | Report of inventory |  |  |
| Action Step 3: Replace current phone system | Mark Scheppke | Summer 2012 | Time, Admin \& Board approval | \$60000 | Successful use of new phone system by staff |  |  |
| Action Step 4: Upgrade current network hardwire switching equipment | Mark Scheppke | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Summer } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | Time, Admin \& Board approval | \$120000 | Sucessful installation \& connectivity to CINC |  |  |
| Action Step 5: Installation of N -standard wireless infrastructure | Mark Scheppke | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Summer } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | Time | No cost | Successful installation and connectivity to CINC |  |  |


| Goal 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal 4: Support Systems and Leadership: District leaders will maintain or improve the current level of communication by sharing with district stakeholders the vision, goals and initiatives, as well as progress made by the Information and Technology Program. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Communication Focus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Objectives \& Action Steps | Who is responsible? | Timeline | Resources needed | Cost | Evaluation Method | Successful? | Comments |
| Objective One: Maintain and update communication tools as necessary for district productivity and dissemination of information to constituants. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Action Step 1: Continue current methods of communication such as the district website and Facebook pages | IT Committee, Technology Coordinator | Ongoing | Time, | Webpage interaction statistice, Facebook followers, email responses, Posts on questions page | Meeting minutes \& agendas |  |  |
| Action Step 2:Investigate additional ways to disseminate information to all stakeholders such as parents, School Board, Community members, students and staff | IT Committee, Technology Coordinator | Sept 2012 | Time at IT meeting | Time | Minutes from meetings |  |  |
| Action Step 3: Schedule 2012-2013 year meetings and publish | IT Committee, Technology Coordinator | Sept 2012 | Time at IT meeting |  |  |  |  |
| Action Step 4: Develop a system which informs staff of the Information and Technology resources available | IT Department, Administration, IT Committee | Ongoing | Time | \$300 | System to be completed by Spring of 2013 and updating as new resources are acquired |  |  |
| Objective Two: Annually review of IT Plan to assess current and emerging instructional trends and technology | IT Committee, LMC Personnel, Administration, Building Tech Committees | Annually | Time and staff coverage | \$300 | Minutes from meetings indicating evaluation of goals |  |  |
| Action Step 1:Schedule building IT meetings to collect information on current status of goals | LMC Director \& Technology Coordinator | Quarterly throughout the year | Time and staff coverage |  | Minutes from meetings indicating evaluation of goals |  |  |
| Action Step 2: Gather data from building IT meetings to share with district committee for discussion and revision of current IT Goals | IT Committee, LMC Personnel, Administration, Building IT Committees | Annually in the spring | Time and staff coverage |  | Minutes from meetings indicating evaluation of goals |  |  |


| Date of Purchase | Manufacturer | Server Model | Count | Replacement Year | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/1/2006 | Daktech | 3U Rack Server | 1 | 2013 | 3500 |
| 4/7/2009 | Daktech | 2U Rack Server | 4 |  | 14000 |
| 7/21/2009 | Daktech | 3U Rack Server | 1 |  | 3500 |
| 5/17/2011 | Daktech | 1 U Rack Server | 2 |  | 7000 |
| Date of Purchase | Manufacturer | Desktop Model | Count | Replacement Year | Cost |
| 6/1/2005 | Compaq | Evo 510 | 70 | School Year 2013 | 45500 |
| 6/27/2005 | Daktech | Voyager 2 | 60 | School Year 2013 | 39000 |
| 6/20/2006 | Daktech | Discovery 5 | 104 | School Year 2014 | 67600 |
| 8/20/2007 | Daktech | Discovery 5 | 34 | School Year 2015 | 22100 |
| 6/15/2008 | Daktech | Discovery 7 | 23 | School Year 2015 | 14950 |
| 11/10/2009 | Daktech | Discovery 8 | 16 | School Year 2015 | 10400 |
| 6/13/2010 | Daktech | Discovery 8 | 301 | School Year 2016- $17$ | 195650 |
| 4/18/2011 | Daktech | DP55WB | 13 | School Year 2016- $17$ | 8450 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total Desktops | 621 |  | 403650 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date of Purchase | Manufacturer | Laptop Model | Count | Replacement Year | Cost |
| 4/16/2007 | Dell | Inspiron E6400 | 5 |  | 3250 |
| 12/10/2007 | Dell | Vostro 1000 | 4 |  | 2600 |
| 6/12/2008 | Daktech | PlaidBook SR30 | 21 |  | 13650 |
| 11/17/2008 | Daktech | Plaidbook T30 | 5 |  | 3250 |
| 4/29/2009 | Acer | AspireOne | 9 |  | 5850 |
| 9/21/2009 | Acer | Aspire One D250 | 4 |  | 2600 |
| 2/3/2010 | Daktech | Plaidbook T30 | 2 |  | 1300 |
| 2/18/2010 | HP | HP Mini 5102 Netbook | 15 |  | 9750 |
| 10/13/2010 | Daktech | Plaidbook SP-15 | 4 |  | 2600 |
| 3/28/2011 | Daktech | Plaidbook SP-15 | 7 |  | 4550 |
| 6/8/2011 | HP | HP Mini 5103 Netbook | 30 |  | 19500 |
| 6/13/2011 | Apple | iPad 2 | 3 |  | 1950 |
| 8/30/2011 | Daktech | Plaidbook SP-15R | 6 |  | 3900 |
| 10/17/2011 | Dell | Inspiron | 4 |  | 2600 |
| 3/16/2012 | Lenovo | X120 E-350 | 6 |  | 3900 |
| 3/13/2012 | Dell | Latitude E5520 | 2 |  | 1300 |
| 5/1/2010 | Daktech | Plaidbook C30 | 5 |  | 3250 |


|  |  | Total Laptops | 132 |  | 85800 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date of Purchase | Manufacturer | Equipment Type | Count | Replacement Year | Cost |
| 2/29/2912 | Mimio | IWB | 9 | 2017 |  |
| 3/1/2012 | Cisco | Wireless Controller | 1 | 2020 |  |
| 3/1/2012 | Cisco | Wireless AP 1142N | 50 | 2020 |  |
| 2/20/2012 | Epson | PowerLite 450W | 11 | 2017 |  |
| 1/10/2011 | Smarttech Inc | SD680 Dual Touch | 8 | 2015 |  |
| 10/16/2008 | Epson | Ceiling Mount Projector | 7 | 2015 |  |
| TBA | Cisco |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manufacturer | Llcense | Purpose |  |  |  |
| Microsoft | Office 2007 | Productivity |  |  |  |
| Adobe | Acrobat 10 | Productivity |  |  |  |
| Adobe | Photoshop Elements | Productivity |  |  |  |
| CESA 6 | CMS4Schools | Website and content man. |  |  |  |
| Sophos | Endpoint Security | Anti-virus |  |  |  |
| Sophos | Web Security | Web Filtering |  |  |  |
|  | Microtype Pro 5 | Typing Tutorial |  |  |  |
| Google | Google Apps | Email, productivity |  |  |  |
| NWEA | MAPS Assessment | Student Assessment |  |  |  |
| Chief Architect | Chief Architect | CAD |  |  |  |
| Scholastic | Read 180, SRI | Reading Improvement and Assessment |  |  |  |
| Reniassnce Learning | Accelerated Reading | Reading Improvement and Assessment |  |  |  |
| CESA 6 | Curriculum4Schools | Curriculum Mapping and lesson planning |  |  |  |
| Skyward | School Management | Productivity and Reporting |  |  |  |
| Skyward | School Finance | Productivity and Reporting |  |  |  |

Technology Plan - Expenditures Estimates


| Accelerated Reader subscription (MS) | Goal 3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Google Archiving and Discovery subscription | Goal 3 |
| Read 180 subscription (MS) | Goal 3 |
| Pearson Data Solutions SIF subscription (District) | Goal 3 |
| Sophos Web Gateway subscription (District) | Goal 3 |
| Sophos Anti-Virus subscription (District) | Goal 3 |
| Deployment Solution subscription (District) | Goal 3 |
| CMS4School subscription | Goal 3 |

2350 MS LMC budget 2750 Technology budget 4725 Pupil service budget 2600 Technology budget 3200 Technology budget 3200 Technology budget 1200 Technology budget 2000 Technology budget

2350 MS LMC budget 2750 Technology budget 4725 Pupil service budge 2600 Technology budget 3200 Technology budget 3200 Technology budget 1200 Technology budget 2000 Technology budget

2350 MS LMC budget 2750 Technology budget 4725 Pupil service budge 2600 Technology budget 3200 Technology budget 3200 Technology budget 1200 Technology budget 2000 Technology budget


[^0]:    10 WELLS FARGO BANK/NET PR \& DIRECT DE 27 WELLS FARGO BANK/NET PR \& DIRECT DE

    189,171. 34
    33,323. 29

[^1]:    *Additional meeting in October on 5th Monday following Annual Meeting to certify tax levy. In April meetings are on 2 nd \& 4th Mondays

[^2]:    Future Reference:
    February: Review of Library Director
    May: Election of Officers
    June: Review of Library Director's contract
    November: Long range goal review

